



GMGT 7210 (G01) (3.0 ch) STRATEGY SUMMER 2023

INSTRUCTOR

Name: Dr. Rong (Ratchel) Zeng Office Location: Drake 420

Phone: (204) 474-6566 Office Hours: 4:00-6:00 p.m. after the class for Friday &

Saturday; 12:00-2:00 p.m. after the class for

Sunday; by appointment

Email: ratchel.zeng@umanitoba.ca Class Room: Drake 530

Class Time: 8:45am – 3:45 pm, May 26 & 27; June 9 & 10

8:45am - 11:45 pm, May 28; June 11

COURSE DESCRIPTION

This course is introduced to MBA students to view the organization as a whole, integrating and applying concepts from various functional areas to determine the success of an organization. Students will study evolving strategic management problems and practices, and examine issues of formulation and implementation through a business simulation and case discussions.

COURSE OBJECTIVES

You may refer to Bloom's hierarchy of learning objectives in education: knowledge, comprehension, application, analysis, synthesis, assessment, and creation. The expected learning outcomes of the course are as follows:

- Knowledge and comprehension. People over centuries have been involved in making sense of the problems of strategic management. Even though the past knowledge may not provide readymade solutions to the current and future problems, we can only neglect previous knowledge at our own peril. The past is prologue! The goal is to provide students with rigorous and relevant concepts and their relationships in order to understand the past, the present, and the future of strategic management practice in organizations. The objective is to gain insights through assessment of multiple, competing, and conflicting theories and schools of thought as well as their assumptions and boundary conditions.
- Skills. Knowledge is good to have; however, it is more important to be able to use what you
 know. Students are expected to develop their abilities to identify, analyze, synthesize, and
 assess critical strategic management issues. These may involve capabilities to go beyond
 events and patterns to the systemic issues, their relationships with one another, consider
 alternative courses of actions, formulate strategies, negotiate solutions, and implement and

improvise them. In addition to the usual requirements of cognitive intelligence, hard work, and perseverance, executives need skills for emotional intelligence to face failures and handle successes, to learn from their varied experiences, and to evolve themselves and their strategic management over time.

- *Perspective*. What you see depends on where you stand! Hence, students are expected to practice a critical and creative view, where they have an open and sophisticated mindset to deal with complex problems.
- Values. Finally, and most importantly, there is a significant role of values in strategic management theory and practice. As you know, an MBA is the highest degree of education that most managers get. Therefore, MBA holders are expected to be the best professional managers not only in terms of their competence but also in terms of values.

COURSE MATERIALS

There are three parts to the course materials: (a) case package from Ivey Publishing; (b) readings at the UM Learn website for the course; and (c) simulation.

- (a) Case Package from Ivey Publishing: https://www.iveypublishing.ca/s/ivey-coursepack/a1R5c00000Ej80rEAB. All students are required to purchase the original case package.
- (b) Readings at the UM Learn website for the course.
- (c) There is an online business simulation, available from its website (www.capsim.com). Each student is required to register for the simulation on this website. It is a good idea to register for the simulation at the earliest (1-2 weeks before Class 1) and be familiar with the simulation

Further instructions to access the case package, readings and simulation will be available at UM Learn.

If you have never taken a course in the strategic management area, you may benefit from using the following book, which we use in the undergraduate strategy course. This book is not required.

Strategic Management Theories: An Integrated Approach (Canadian Custom 13th edition) by Hill, Schilling, and Jones. Nelson. PAPERBACK, available from the University of Manitoba Bookstore. As an alternative, you could use the *Strategic Management Theory* by Hill, Schilling, and Jones, 12th or 11th edition, Cengage Learning (softcover).

COURSE ASSESSMENT

Student progress in the course will be assessed based on six components: an individual reflection paper, class contribution in the discussion of readings and cases, article presentation, case analyses presentation, simulation, and a comprehensive final written exam.

The weighting of various components in the determination of the final grade may be set on an individual basis by each student through a flexible Evaluation Contract, a sample of which is attached to this outline (see EXHIBIT 2). This flexible Evaluation Contract must be uploaded to the Evaluation Contract folder by the class meeting as per the Class Schedule (second class) and *cannot not be*

altered once submitted. If you like the default evaluation contract, you don't need to do anything. The objective of the Evaluation Contract is to give each student the opportunity to demonstrate understanding of the course material in the manner in which he or she feels most capable to do so. For those who do not submit their flexible evaluation contract by the due date or whose contract includes errors (weights beyond the minimum/maximum allowed, sum of weights larger/smaller than 100%, etc.), the following default evaluation contract will apply.

| Components | Percentage |
|---------------------------------------|------------|
| Reflection paper (individual) | 5% |
| Class contribution (individual) | 10% |
| Article Presentation (team) | 5% |
| Case Presentation (team) | 15% |
| Simulation (team) | 30% |
| Comprehensive Final Exam (individual) | 35% |

Further details on each of the components are given later in this course outline.

Final grades will be assigned as follows. However, please note that **the professor reserves the right to curve the grades.**

| Cumulative Marks | Grade | GPA | Performance |
|------------------|-------|-----|----------------|
| 95-100 | Α+ | 4.5 | Outstanding |
| 90-94.9 | Α | 4.0 | Excellent |
| 85-89.9 | B+ | 3.5 | Very Good |
| 80-84.9 | В | 3.0 | Good |
| 75-79.9 | C+ | 2.5 | Satisfactory |
| 70-74.9 | C | 2.0 | Unsatisfactory |
| 60-69.9 | D | 1.0 | Unsatisfactory |
| Below 60 | F | 0.0 | Unsatisfactory |

NOTE: Class attendance is required. Missing more than 20% of this course due to absence from <u>lectures may result in a failing grade.</u> It is your responsibility to inform your professor, in advance if possible, of your absence and the reason for it:

- 1) if <u>medical</u>, self-declaration form must be submitted for an illness lasting 5 consecutive days or less https://umanitoba.ca/governance/governing-documents-students#self-declaration-for-brief-or-temporary-student-absences, no later than 48 hours after the end of the brief absence; a medical note from your physician must be submitted for an illness lasting more than 5 days;
- 2) if a **work commitment**, a signed letter on letterhead from your supervisor is required in advance, noting clearly the date(s) you must be away for your work commitment(s);
- 3) if for **student competitions**, an email from your Asper team coach must be received in advance indicating the dates you are away at competition.

The professor will then decide how to deal with the impact of the missed classes on your final grade.

COURSE SCHEDULE

Class preparation

- 1. This capstone course will usually require three to four hours of advance preparation for each class. In preparation for class discussion, you should raise questions about the issues addressed in the readings/cases and answer them. The intensive schedule for this section implies that 15 to 20 hours of advance preparation are needed before the first block of 2.5 days. Before you come to the 1st class, your main task will be to familiarize yourself with the simulation and the readings for Sessions 1 & 2. Refer to the Preparation before Class 1 folder at UM Learn. While preparing for the second block of 2.5 days, your preparation may entail identifying 2-3 key strategic issues related to the case. Then, you should generate alternative solutions and recommend management action, noting the pros and cons of the solution, its implementation, and expected outcomes. Be prepared to justify your recommended solution both from a theoretical and a business perspective using criteria you selected. See General Case Analysis Guidelines given in the course package. Be prepared for every class by reading the articles, cases, viewing Internet video, completing the simulation exercise, integrating them and answering questions. Since I may call on individuals whose hands are not raised, you should let me know before the start of the class if some emergency has made it impossible for you to be prepared adequately for that class.
- 2. Students are expected to attend class regularly and be responsible for any course-related announcements that may be made in class, even if not present for the particular session in which the announcement is made.
- 3. Please use business writing for all assignments and exams. In general, we encourage the use of full sentences instead of bullet points.
- 4. Bring your laptop to the class! You will need it for the Simulation!

Course Conduct

Why do some organizations succeed and others fail? This course will challenge you to answer this question in the current business environments. Obviously, companies may develop a strategic edge because of their planning, positioning, resources and capabilities, culture, ethics, patterns, cognition (perspective), learning, evolution, or even chance. However, what is most important is the "coming together" of all of these and other factors, however small and inconsequential they may seem at the outset. As you may know, this is the capstone course that integrates all functional areas and constitutes the comprehensive examination for the MBA program.

This course was introduced for MBA students to view the organization as a whole and to understand how different functional areas work together to determine the success/failure of an organization. The course helps enhance the participants' strategic thinking and analysis skills that can be applied at the individual (e.g., for career choice) and/or organizational levels.

Class Schedule

Here is the basic sequence of topics for our class discussions for the semester. The class schedule is subject to modification as we go along during the semester. The instructor reserves the right to change a scheduled case or reading by notice at the preceding class.

Note: <u>All assignments are due at 11:59 p.m. the date before the beginning of class, unless indicated otherwise</u>. Late submissions will be penalized ten percentage points for every 24-hour period, or portion thereof.

| Class | Date | Topic & Readings |
|-------|--|--|
| | | To Do Checklist Before Class 1 |
| 1 | May 26, 2023: 8:45am- 11:45am | Introduction to the Course (see details in the Class 1: Introduction file uploaded to UM Learn) Reading 1: General Guidelines for Case Analysis Reading 2: What is Strategy? Porter, 1996 HBR Multifunctional Team Sheet to be Completed (Exhibit 1) |
| 2 | May 26, 2023: 12:45pm- 3:45pm | Strategic Management Process Reading 1: General Guidelines for Case Analysis Reading 3: Using the Balanced Scorecard as a Strategic Management System. Kaplan & Norton, 2007 HBR Simulation process Decisions for Practice Round 1 Evaluation Contract due (Exhibit 2) |
| 3 | May 27, 2023: 8:45am- 11:45am | Practice Round 1 Debriefing External Assessment Reading 4: The Five Competitive Forces That Shape Strategy. Porter, 2008 HBR Reading 5: Competitive Dynamics: An Introduction. Chen et al, 2020 DBP Article Presentation by two teams Decisions for Practice Round 2 |
| 4 | May 27, 2023: 12:45pm- 3:45pm | Practice Round 2 Debriefing Internal Assessment Reading 6: Looking Inside for Competitive Advantage & Appendix. Barney, 1996 AMP Reading 7: Building Your Company's Vision. Collins & Porras, 1996 HBR Article Presentation by two teams Decisions for Simulation Tournament Round 1 Evaluation Contract for the Simulation due (Exhibit 3) |
| 5 | May 28, 2023: 8:45am- 11:45am | Group Reflection of the Simulation Business Level strategies Reading 8: Blue Ocean Strategy. Kim & Mauborgne. 2004 HBR Reading 9: Reinventing Your Business Model. Johnson et al., 2008 HBR Article Presentation by two teams Decisions for Simulation Tournament Round 2 |

| | | Decisions for Simulation Tournament Round 3 Due on June 4 |
|--------|-----------|---|
| | | 1 st Reflection Report on Simulation Due on June 8 |
| 6 | June 9, | Reflections on Simulation |
| | 2023: | Competitor Dynamics & Global Environments |
| | 8:45am- | Reading 10: The Battle for Logan Airport: American Airlines versus JetBlue (A) |
| | 11:45am | (case) |
| | | Case Analysis Presentation on the Airline case (two teams) |
| 7 | June 9, | Strategies in High Technology Environments |
| | 2023: | Reading 11: HP Inc: Poised to Lead in 3D Printing? (case) |
| | 12:45pm- | Case Analysis Presentation on the HP 3D Printing case (two teams) |
| | 3:45pm | Decisions for Simulation Tournament Round 4 |
| 8 | June 10, | Global Strategies |
| | 2023: | Corporate-level strategies |
| | 8:45am- | Reading 12: Tesla, Inc.: The Strategic Partnership for a New Gigafactory in China |
| | 11:45am | (case) |
| | 11.454111 | Case Analysis Presentation on the Tesla case (two teams) |
| | | Case Analysis i resemblation on the resia case (two teams) |
| | | Decisions for Simulation Tournament Round 5 |
| 9 | June 10, | Implementing Strategy Through Organization & Strategic Change |
| | 2023: | Reading 13: LUV It or Leave it? Southwest Airlines Reflects on Organizational |
| | 12:45pm- | Choices (case) |
| | 3:45pm | Reading 14: Who Has the D? Rogers & Blenko, 2006 HBR |
| | | Decisions for Simulation Tournament Round 6 |
| 10 | June 11, | Pulling it All Together |
| | 2023: | Presentation of the Simulation Reports |
| | 8:45am- | Video Case: Is Wal-Mart Good for America? |
| | 11:45am | Course Evaluations |
| June 2 | 24, 2023: | Comprehensive Final Exam |
| | 9:30 p.m. | |

PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

As mentioned above, final grades in the course will be based on following six components:

- i. Reflection paper based on your experience (individual)
- ii. Class contribution (individual)
- iii. Article presentation (team)
- iv. Case presentation (team)
- v. Simulation (team)
- vi. Comprehensive final examination (individual)

I. Individual Reflection Paper

Each student is required to submit one reflection paper during the semester. There will be a minimum of 5% weight for the reflection paper.

- 1. The reflection paper is expected to be **only one page long**, written in **double space using 12 point font** Times New Roman. Be sure to limit your paper to one page. If it is more than one page, only the first page will be used.
- 2. You may submit the reflection paper for **any one of the classes from 3-5** (the 1st half of the course). It should relate to the topic of the class for which it is submitted and use its readings and other materials. Make sure that you will not choose the same article that your team will present. As you know, one needs to understand theory for applying it to cases. Therefore, it is valuable for you to reflect on the issues that you think are important from the readings, and select one critical point (key idea) that resonates with you rather than summarizing several points. These reflections will also help you with the case analysis in the 2nd half of the course in particular.
- 3. While writing the reflection paper, express your key idea in 1-2 lines. Then, for the rest of the paper, write a vivid and specific example from your experience that illustrates your critical point in the paper. The point is to provide details rather than simply scratch the surface of the example. This way you can have generative learning—linking your prior knowledge and the new knowledge—so it becomes part of your mental model and helps you advance your strategic thinking, perspective, and skills. Keep in mind that rather than express many ideas in the reflection paper, it will be best if you can express one important point and illustrate it with one interesting, vivid example from your work-experience that may have high likelihood of sticking in other students' minds.
- 4. Upload a copy of the reflection paper to the Reflection Paper folder at UM Learn by 11.59 p.m. the day before the class. It will be helpful if you can use a short and appealing title that summarizes the main idea (e.g., Opportunity in the eyes of the beholder). Please use the file name as follows: Jane-Doe-RP-Class3. Be sure to bring a copy of the reflection paper to the class for yourself so it is easier for you to share your idea with others in the class.
- 5. Write in your own words and do not use a direct quote from any source.
- 6. **Be sure to discuss the ideas from your reflection paper in the class.** You will serve as discussants for the team article presentation on that day. This is how other students in the class can learn from your experience and you can learn from their experience.

Please feel free to ask if you have any questions on the reflection paper.

II. Individual Class Contribution

Class contribution is integral to this interactive course. Every student brings a different combination of expertise and experience to bear on each discussion, so students are expected not only to learn from the experience of taking the course, but to contribute to the learning experience of others in it as well. Therefore, class contribution must be at minimum a 5% factor in each student's overall performance evaluation.

The grade for class contribution will be based on the instructor's evaluation of each student's contribution. Please keep in mind that the quality of class contribution is more important than the quantity. Further details of the class contribution aspect of performance evaluation are provided on

page 15 (just after Exhibit 2 Evaluation Contract). As you know, attending classes is *necessary but not sufficient* to succeed in school. Therefore, *students should be aware from the outset that although regular and punctual attendance is expected, there is no contribution credit given for simply being present in class*. Even with perfect attendance, individuals who do not participate at all during class will receive a contribution grade of zero!

As you know, participation in a group is a subtle issue where one has to balance many things. First and foremost, it is important to present your ideas with honesty, authenticity, and integrity. One should not shy away from honest differences and debate. In fact, such differences and involvement in a debate with opposite points of view are the hall-mark of a good discussion and lead to better understanding for all involved. At the same time, it is important to practice respect, tolerance, patience, and good judgment. It is a good habit to think about your ideas and formulate some comments before going to class. Then, voice your comments and encourage and wait for others to get a chance to participate. After actively listening to others, come back for further comments after some time rather than try to dominate the discussion. Keep in mind that comments should advance the discussion rather than beat the dead-horses. I encourage you to read and bring issues and articles of current interest (e.g., from Business Week, Fortune, Wall Street Journal, etc.). Prepare a 5-line summary of the article and bring and share it with class. Be sure to send me a copy of the article and your summary. Be prepared to answer questions on it from the instructor as well as other students. Another way to contribute to the class is by sharing podium with the instructor in orchestrating the class discussion. Make sure you have thoroughly prepared the readings and the case. Please feel free to talk to me about these activities of class contribution.

Since you are (or have been) a practicing manager, you know the significance of business meetings. Therefore, think of the class as (y)our business meeting. It is important to prepare in advance and show up but you don't get the contract just by being prepared and showing up! You need to make a good presentation too!

One way to do well in class contribution is to come up with key ideas in advance, write them and bring them to class. The key ideas integrate cases with associated readings. The objective of these is to hone your technique in strategic analysis, in preparation for developing a strategy recommendation for the written analysis of case assignments. This should present your *unique* idea linking the reading(s) and the case designated for discussion in that class. The purpose is not to provide a summary of the case: rather it is for you to highlight the key idea that you want to contribute to the class after you have considered all aspects and have thought through the case and the related reading(s).

Try to bring your valuable, rare and novel idea that reveals your deep understanding and/or brings a new perspective to the issue at hand. The key idea does not have to be an overall idea of the readings/cases. We are looking for "gems," "pearls," or "nuggets." However, if your overall idea looks like a "gem," it is fine. As mentioned above, the key ideas should integrate concepts from the readings and cases. You should feel free to bring in any ideas from your personal life or work experience, if it reinforces what you are trying to say by integrating the readings and the case.

Similar to the reflection papers, the objective of the key idea is to bring one *integrated* idea. It represents the single most significant contribution you wish to bring to the class discussion. The point is to focus your reading and to stimulate your thoughts for class. This is the time to show your

strength of thinking with some short, crisp, and powerful ideas! People generally read and retain material better when they comment on it.

The instructor may ask students to write answers to questions such as: (a) What was the most important point that you learned when preparing for this class; (b) What was the most important point that you learned during the class, and who contributed to your learning this point? (c) What was the most important point that you contributed in the class today? You should be prepared to answer these questions by writing in about five lines of text.

III. Individual Comprehensive Final Examination

This written examination will be held on the date set by the Graduate/MBA Program Office. The final exam will consist of a long case (about 20pages) with several questions, along with instructions on the conduct of the exam. The students will be expected to read the case (during the exam) and then write its analysis following the Guidelines for Analyzing and Writing Cases. Each student will be provided with a hard copy of the case at the beginning of the exam. The exam questions will require students to be able to apply their knowledge of theoretical concepts to the practical case situation. The best preparation for the exam is mastering materials for each class well in time before class, writing the reflection paper, analyzing the cases, presenting the cases, and actively participating in class discussions. In addition, assignments related to the simulation which involve developing strategies, capabilities, and plans, and implementing and evaluating them can be very useful for the preparation.

Strictly Individual Basis for the Comprehensive Final Examination

Unlike the preparation for the in-class case discussions, this examination is a strictly individual exercise, intended to assess your personal abilities in the subject, not the collective abilities of your study team. No discussion of the exam is permitted with anyone else, and each student may be asked to submit a signed declaration in a specified format to that effect with his or her completed exam report. Each student's written report, including all attachments and exhibits, must be strictly the work of the individual student, in both content and form. Any instance in which a student's written analysis contains sections of text or attachments essentially identical in content and/or form to that of another student's report will be considered a breach of academic integrity on the part of both students.

We understand that strategic management in practice, and learning in the study of Strategy is enhanced by group discussion. But, it is important for individuals to prepare themselves before the group can be effective. Therefore, the analysis of other assignments (see below) will be conducted in the team, where individuals can bring together knowledge from their perspectives and favourite areas, formulate different alternatives, and recommend one solution by going through the process of negotiation, bargaining, and compromise.

IV. Multifunctional Team Projects

Working with other people is fundamental to business, and to the practice of Strategy. Therefore, as mentioned above, each student in this section will be required to form a multifunctional study team for the following purposes (Students are expected to form their teams but the instructor may facilitate the process if there is need. Each team is expected to have members who have diverse major areas. Membership of the team is not final until approved by the instructor. Members of a family are

discouraged to be members of the same team):

- 1. Meetings prior to class to review individual preparation and discuss the readings and cases informally. This will be a tremendous benefit to the subsequent learning experience in class. Each member should make himself/herself available to meet with the team prior to class. The teams may decide on the rules and incentives for participation of their members.
- 2. **Article Presentations**. Each team is expected to present an article from the reading list (Classes 3, 4 and 5). Please take a look at the class schedule for the article assignment. Further guidelines will be provided during the class. Each presentation should take approximately 12 minutes. Your task is to summarize your assigned article and reflect it in the practice. An approximate agenda for your article presentation may look like this:
 - Article summary and key points
 - Scenarios for using the concepts Think of specific managerial situations (types of firms, industry environments, geographic/national environments, etc.) where you may use frameworks and ideas presented in the article; how does it help you solve managerial issues
 - Applicability. Use of your own examples is encouraged.

Two or three students will serve as the discussants based on the chosen reflection paper.

- 3. **Case Presentation.** Each team is expected to present one case analysis. The case presentations will take place in Classes 6, 7, 8, where two teams will make their presentations in each class. Please take a look at the Class Schedule for the cases. Further guidelines will be provided during the class.
- 4. **Simulation**. This project involves preparing and running a simulated company in the class. You will access the simulation on the website (www.capsim.com) and register yourself as a student. This project involves written reports, running the simulated company, interpreting and presenting results and reflections, and peer evaluations. Each member of the team is required to participate in this exercise. The materials you access at the simulation website will give you further information. Also, refer to the Preparation before Class 1 folder at UM Learn to locate all the files related to the Simulation. Please feel free to contact me if you have any further questions.

During your presentation, you are expected to not read from a paper or a computer screen. Instead prepare PowerPoint slides and put your ideas in the form of bullet points (not full sentences) that you can develop during your presentation. Make sure your slides are not congested (i.e., there is not too much text on each slide) and the font size is large enough for the audience to read when you show your slides. The audience should be able to easily read the text in the slide without rushing so they can pay attention to your idea.

Different members from the same group may get different individual grades for the above three multifunctional team projects, depending on the Team Assignment Grade Allocation Contract (Exhibit 5).

Deadlines

We will run several rounds of simulation. The simulation is interactive, which means a company's results are influenced by other companies' decisions. All teams must submit their decisions online by the deadlines so we can run the simulation. The exact due time for each round will be announced in each class. If a team fails to submit its decisions before the due time, the simulation will be run with the decisions that are available.

All other assignments must be submitted by the deadlines in the format provided. Assignment submissions that are late and/or violate format specifications may be penalized as follows. Late submissions, including those delayed by computer-related difficulties, will be penalized ten percentage points for every 24-hour period (one day), or portion thereof. For example, a student who earns a score of 80% on his/her paper will receive a score of 70% if the paper was submitted one day late.

Exceptional circumstances which might jeopardize your ability to meet deadlines must be brought to your instructor's attention prior to the due date, or else they cannot be considered as grounds for exemption from late penalty. If you are involved in any co-curricular activities (e.g., case competitions at another university), you will need to negotiate your contribution with your team members. The teams are expected to complete and submit their decisions or assignments prior to the deadline.

The department does not accept any responsibility for potential loss of papers during handling (although this has almost never happened). Nevertheless, to protect against this theoretical possibility, all students are *required* to keep a hard copy and a soft copy of their decisions and assignments that they submit.

A well-functioning study team can add a great deal to the learning experience and enjoyment of this course! The multifunctional team sheet (Exhibit 1) must be completed and submitted as per the Class Schedule (on the first day of class).

The Administration of the Course

The capstone Strategy course is a challenging one to take, and also a challenging one to deliver. Here are some administrative matters in which your conscientious cooperation will make it easier for me to delivering the course effectively to you.

Email protocol

If you have any question at any time, please feel free to contact me by email, *making sure that you start with GMGT 7210 in the subject line*. This will flag the message for priority reading on my part. I check my email frequently and would be glad to answer your question(s) at any time.

Meeting protocol

If you would like to talk to me, you may do so during my office hours when I will give you my undivided attention. As you may have already noticed, my office hours are mentioned in the beginning of this course outline. If you can't talk to me during that time, please send me an email

and let me know of a couple of times when it is convenient for you. I will try to reply at the earliest and arrange time that is convenient for both of us. In any case, feel free to talk to me after the class. As an alternative, please send me an email and we can arrange a Zoom meeting.

Attending classes

As you know, attending classes is an essential part of the course. Since there are only ten class sessions for the course, each class session is important. We have scheduled a variety of activities for various classes throughout the semester. You are expected to attend all classes. At a minimum, 80 percent attendance is required to complete the course.

Other activities during classes

Please make sure that you switch off electronic gadgets such as cellular phones before the class. Your focus is expected to be on the relevant activities as directed by the instructor. During the class, students are not expected to work on emails or other activities relating to this or other classes (such as preparing for an exam or writing a paper).

MULTIFUNCTIONAL TEAM SHEET

Individual grades for the team projects will be determined as follows: Each team will be assigned a mark out of 100%; that grade will then be multiplied by the number of members of that team to create a "team grade pool" - for instance, a team of four students will have a team grade pool out of 400%. This team grade pool will then be allocated among the members of the team as specified in the Team Grading Contract which will be provided with the assignment specifications, and which must be executed and submitted coincident with the project's completion. (If the members of the team cannot come to a unanimous consensus on an allocation, the issue may be submitted to "arbitration" by the instructor, at a "cost" of one tenth of the team grade pool.) A well-functioning study team can add a great deal to the learning experience and enjoyment of this course! All students must be part of a team, the list of which must be submitted by the class meeting, as per the Class Schedule (during the first class). (Please note that members of the team will not change for different team projects during the term.)

12

EXHIBIT 1 (Due in Class 1) MULTIFUNCTIONAL TEAM MEMBERSHIP

| MBA S | trategy, Summer 2023, Team Number | (to be assigned by the instructor) |
|--------|--|------------------------------------|
| Please | write your NAME, EMAIL ADDRESS, PHONE NU | MBER, and MAJOR |
| 1 | | * |
| 2. | | |
| 3. | | |
| 4. | | |

Please note that we have not yet decided the number of students per team, which will depend on the total number of students in the class. We would like to make the teams as balanced as possible. We'll finalize the teams on the first day of the class. In the interest of achieving an effective and enjoyable team for this course, please be sure to discuss various issues with your colleagues *before* making your team, such as:

- 1. What are your objectives for this course, and how hard do you want to work at it? Are you striving to do as well as possible, or simply taking this course as a program requirement, or for personal enrichment?
- 2. When is it convenient for you to meet, and how flexible is your schedule in that respect?
- 3. What are your strengths and weaknesses? What are your distinctive competencies? In other words, what do you bring to the team that will add value to the team's work such as case analysis and writing?
- 4. How much weight is being assigned to the team activities by each member?
- 5. Does each member understand that any *outside* help that is received by any student on the team will be considered a violation of the Academic Integrity Policy of the University?

(Important Note to Teams: Please keep a copy of the completed form for your own record; Due Date: Class 1)

^{*} Contact person for this group





EXHIBIT 2

(Due at UM Learn by Class 2)

EVALUATION CONTRACT (FLEXIBLE)

MBA Strategy Summer 2023 **Section G01**

Student progress in the course will be assessed based on six components: an individual reflection paper, class contribution in the discussion of readings and cases, case presentations, article presentation, simulation and a comprehensive final written exam.

If you like the default evaluation contract (see default weights below), you don't need to upload it to UM Learn. If you would prefer to change the weights a bit, please use the following instructions. The weighting of these five components in the determination of the final grade may be set on an individual basis by each student through an Evaluation Contract. The objective of the Evaluation Contract is to give each student the opportunity to demonstrate understanding of the course material in the manner in which he or she feels most capable to do so. For those who fail to upload the flexible evaluation contract by the due date or violate other guidelines (e.g., minimum and maximum weights allowed, sum of weights equal to 100), the default evaluation contract will apply.

Please understand that any *outside* help that is received on any assignment, paper, project, test, or exam will be considered a violation of the Academic Integrity Policy of the University.

This flexible Evaluation Contract must be uploaded by Class 2 at UM Learn and may not be altered later. An electronic template of this contract in Excel format is available at UM Learn. Please complete the template and upload it to the Evaluation Contract folder at UM Learn. As you know, you will get a receipt when you submit it at UM Learn. There is no need to submit a hard copy or a soft copy by email to the instructor.

| | Minimum | Maximum | Default |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Reflection Paper (individual) | 3% | 8% | 5% |
| Class Contribution (individual)* | 5% | 15% | 10% |
| Article Presentation (individual) | 3% | 8% | 5% |
| Case Analysis Presentation (team) | 10% | 20% | 15% |
| Simulation (team) | 25% | 35% | 30% |
| Comprehensive Final Exam (individual) | 30% | 40% | 35% |
| Total | | | 100% |

^{*} Please see the next page for important information about the determination of the class contribution grade, which forms part of this contract.

Determination of the Class Contribution grade

The grade for class contribution must be earned incrementally throughout the course, through consistent, conscientious performance over the class discussions and exercises. All students are expected to prepare and use their name plates at all times during the class.

A student's class contribution grade will depend on his/her contribution as compared to other students in the section. Students should bear in mind that the class contribution grade depends on their instructor's judgment and discretion. The instructor may discount a student's contribution grade because of missed classes, coming late, or leaving early. A student may lose contribution credit for instances in which h/she proves to be insufficiently prepared for class, either by making material errors of fact, or by being unable to respond to a request to begin or continue the case discussion. Contribution marks may also be deducted for comments that are obviously flippant, or otherwise clearly out of keeping with a business discussion. It is important to pay full attention to the class discussions at hand rather than occupying oneself with other things that may relate to this or other class.

For each class, you may earn high credit towards your contracted allocation for class contribution. To earn such credit, your contributions must demonstrate that you have a thorough grasp of the case information, and have considered at least the issues raised in the accompanying readings. Participation in small group discussion, electronic contribution, writing on the board, etc. will earn lower marks as compared to expressing your ideas to all students in the class where they have a chance to consider your comments and respond to them. Factual contributions that help to organize basic information into useful format for analysis will earn low credit. To earn higher credit for a case discussion, you must provide some synthesis beyond the basic case facts, such as relating two or more pieces of information, or performing some quantitative analysis, to draw a relevant conclusion. To earn highest credit for a case discussion, your contribution must demonstrate real insight into the key issues of the case, and/or recommend detailed, well-supported actions to address the strategic challenges involved.

Caveat

Please note that except for the student chosen to begin each discussion, who may be selected by the instructor, the onus for contribution rests entirely with the student, who must indicate his or her desire to speak by raising a hand. However, I reserve the right to call on students at any time during the discussion, and expect you to be prepared to participate.

As mentioned previously, your class is (y)our business meeting! Do all that you would normally do for (y)our business meeting: Be prepared, show up and make a good presentation!

EXHIBIT 3 (Due in Class 4) TEAM EVALUATION CONTRACT FOR THE SIMULATION

| MBA Strategy | Team Name | (please complete) |
|--------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Summer 2023 | Section G01 | |

Grades for the simulation in the Strategy Course will be based on four components: first report, final report, peer evaluation, and team performance in the simulation. The weighting of these components in the determination of the final grade for the simulation may be set on a team basis by each team through this Evaluation Contract. The objective of the Evaluation Contract is to give each team the opportunity to demonstrate its performance in the simulation in the manner in which its members feel most capable to do so. For those teams that fail to submit their signed evaluation contract by the due date, the following weights will apply: First reflection report: 20%; Final reflection report 30%; Peer Evaluation 15%; Team performance in the simulation 35%.

This flexible Evaluation Contract must be uploaded by Class 2 at UM Learn and may not be altered later. An electronic template of this contract in Excel format is available at UM Learn. Please complete the template and submit it to the Simulation Evaluation Contract folder at UM Learn. As you know, you will get a receipt when you submit it at UM Learn. There is no need to submit a hard copy or a soft copy by email to the instructor.

| | Minimum | Maximum | Default |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| First Reflection of the Simulation | 15% | 25% | 20% |
| Final Reflection of the Simulation | 25% | 35% | 30% |
| Peer Evaluation | 10% | 15% | 15% |
| Team Performance on the Simulation* | 30% | 40% | 35% |
| Total | | | 100% |

^{*} Based on Analysts' Reports and Balanced Scorecard. Further details will be explained in the class.

This allocation must have the unanimous consent of all team members, signified by their submission to the UM Learn. If it should prove impossible to achieve such a consensus, then the default contact will apply. Your submission also implies that you understand that any *outside* help with the simulation that is received by any student on the team will be considered a violation of the Academic Integrity Policy of the University.

EXHIBIT 4

ASPER SCHOOL OF BUSINESS GMGT 7210

Team Assignment Grade Allocation Contract (EXAMPLE)

| This | contract | sets | out | the | terms | bν | which | individual | arades | will | be | determined | for | the | team |
|------|----------|------|-----|-----|-------|----|-------|------------|--------|------|----|------------|-----|-----|------|

Section G01 Team Number _1__

This contract applies: (Check as applicable).

1. To the Article Presentation

2. To the Case Analysis Presentation

assignment.

This *contract*, to be submitted with the team assignments, indicates your team's decision as to how the team grade pool should be allocated among the various members of the team on a percentage basis, based on your joint evaluations of each member's respective contributions to the exercise. This allocation must have the unanimous consent of all team members, signified by their signatures below. If it should prove impossible to achieve such a consensus, then the issue can be submitted to "binding arbitration" by the professor, by substituting a one page memorandum to that effect for this form. However, this arbitration comes at a cost of one-tenth of the points in the team grade pool!

This contract also requires approval of the instructor. For example, the instructor may limit the maximum or minimum allocation allowed in the course so as to keep it fair for all students in the class.

| 3. To the Simula | tion | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| You may submit separa | te contracts if al | location varies for o | different projects. | |
| Team members (please | print) | allocation | Signature | |
| 1W | | <u>100</u> % | | |
| 2X | | <u>105</u> % | | |
| 3Y | | <u>95</u> % | | |
| 4Z | | <u>100</u> % | | |

Total = 100 multiplied by number of team members = $\underline{400}$

EXHIBIT 5

ASPER SCHOOL OF BUSINESS GMGT 7210

Team Assignment Grade Allocation Contract

Team Number _____

Section G01

| This contract sets out the terms by assignment. | which <i>indivi</i> d | dual grades will be determined for the team |
|--|--|---|
| how the team grade pool should be percentage basis, based on your join the exercise. This allocation must have their signatures below. If it should percent be submitted to "binding arks" | e allocated and the evaluations we the unanimorove impossibilitiation" by form. Howeve | gnments, indicates your team's decision as to nong the various members of the team on a of each member's respective contributions to ous consent of all team members, signified by alle to achieve such a consensus, then the issue the professor, by substituting a one page or, this arbitration comes at a cost of one-tenth |
| maximum or minimum allocation allo | owed in the co ve higher than | tor. For example, the instructor may limit the burse so as to keep it fair for all students in the 100% allocation, no student can receive more |
| This contract applies: (Check as applied of the Article Presentation 2. To the Case Analysis Presentation 3. To the Simulation | · | |
| You may submit separate contracts i | f allocation va Percentage | ries for different projects. |
| Team members (please print) | _ | Signature |
| 1 | % | |
| 2 | % | |
| 3 | % | |
| 4 | % | |
| Total = 100 multiplied by number of | team membe | rs = |

ACADEMIC REGULATIONS AND STUDENT SERVICES

HUMAN ETHICS APPROVAL FOR DATA COLLECTION

As part of coursework, if you will be collecting data from people who are not students in this class, you must obtain Human Ethics approval from the UofM's Research Ethics Board (REB) prior to data collection. This applies to data collection such as surveys, interviews, focus groups, experiments, video recording, etc., where a respondent is solicited for participation.

If the entire class will be working on the same project, your instructor will apply for human ethics approval from the REB. If individuals or small groups of students will be working on different projects, it is the responsibility of the students to obtain approval (only one group member needs to apply). Your instructor will tell you whether s/he will be or you need to. **When in doubt, please talk to your instructor.**

Instructions and forms to apply for human ethics approval can be found at: http://umanitoba.ca/research/orec/ethics/guidelines.html. In most cases, you will be using the "Protocol Submission Form" which is under the "REB Forms - Fort Garry Campus" heading.

It can take up to six weeks to process human ethics applications and obtain approval. Therefore, plan early. Note that approval must be obtained prior to data collection and cannot be obtained during the data collection phase or retroactively. Violation can get you, your instructor, and the Asper School in serious trouble with the REB.

The following do not require REB approval:

- a) Projects where students are conducting the research on themselves during class time; Projects involving the use of records or information that is in the public domain, including the use of anonymous secondary data and surveys or questionnaires that have already been published;
- b) Projects involving the use of naturalistic observation where there is no reasonable expectation of privacy (i.e. public park).
- c) Practicum or job training projects where students are fully integrated into the organization's operational practices and are not conducting research;
- d) Projects where the intent is to use the information to provide advice, diagnosis, identification of appropriate interventions or general advice for a client;
- e) Projects where the intent is to develop skills which are standard practice within a profession (e.g. observation, assessment, intervention, evaluation, auditing); or
- f) Projects where the information gathering processes are part of the normal professional relationship between the student and the participants.

If you have any questions, please contact humanethics@umanitoba.ca or your instructor.

Unclaimed Assignment Policy

Pursuant to the FIPPA Review Committee's approved recommendations of August 15, 2007, all unclaimed student assignments will become the property of the faculty and will be subject to destruction six months after the completion of any given academic term.

STUDENT SERVICES AND SUPPORTS

The University of Manitoba provides many different services that can enhance learning and provide support for a variety of academic and personal concerns. You are encouraged to visit the below websites to learn more about these services and supports. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact your instructor or the Graduate Program Office.

| For Information on | follow this link |
|---|--|
| Course Outlines, Year-at-a-Glance, Concentrations, Textbooks, VW Dates and Final Exams | Asper Graduate Student Resources |
| Exam Rescheduling Policy - Please refer to Missing a Test/Exam on page 18 of the MBA Student Handbook | MBA Student Handbook |
| Help with research needs such as books, journals, sources of data, how to cite, and writing | <u>Library Resources</u> |
| Tutors, workshops, and resources to help you improve your learning, writing, time management, and test-taking skills | Writing and Learning Support |
| Support and advocacy for students with disabilities to help them in their academic work and progress | Student Accessibility Services |
| Copyright-related questions and resources to help you avoid plagiarism or intellectual property violations | Copyright Office |
| Student discipline bylaws, policies and procedures on academic integrity and misconduct, appeal procedures | Academic Integrity |
| Policies & procedures with respect to student discipline or misconduct, including academic integrity violations | Student Discipline |
| Students' rights & responsibilities, policies & procedures, and support services for academic or discipline concerns | Student Advocacy |
| Your rights and responsibilities as a student, in both academic and non-academic contexts | Your rights and responsibilities |
| Full range of medical services for any physical or mental health issues | <u>University Health Service</u> |
| Information on health topics, including physical/mental health, alcohol/substance use harms, and sexual assault | Health and Wellness |
| Any aspect of mental health, including anxiety, stress, depression, help with relationships or other life concerns, crisis services, and counselling. | Student Counselling Centre |
| Support services available for help regarding any aspect of student and campus life, especially safety issues | Student Support Case Management |
| Resources available on campus, for environmental, mental, physical, socio-cultural, and spiritual well-being | Live Well @ UofM |
| Help with any concerns of harassment, discrimination, or sexual assault | Respectful Work and Learning Environment |
| Concerns involving violence or threats, protocols for reporting, and how the university addresses them | Violent or Threatening Behavior |

Academic integrity

I.H. Asper School of Business, The University of Manitoba

It is critical to the reputation of the I. H. Asper School of Business and of our degrees that everyone associated with our faculty behaves with the highest academic integrity. As the faculty that helps create business and government leaders, we have a special obligation to ensure that our ethical standards are beyond reproach. Any misconduct in our academic transactions violates this trust. The University of Manitoba Graduate Calendar addresses the issue of academic misconduct under the heading "Plagiarism and Cheating." Specifically, acts of academic misconduct include, but are not limited to:

- using the exact words of a published or unpublished author without quotation marks and without referencing the source of these words
- o duplicating a table, graph or diagram, in whole or in part, without referencing the source
- o paraphrasing the conceptual framework, research design, interpretation, or any other ideas of another person, whether written or verbal (e.g., personal communications, ideas from a verbal presentation) without referencing the source
- o copying the answers of another student in any test, examination, or take-home assignment
- o providing answers to another student in any test, examination, or take-home assignment
- o taking any unauthorized materials into an examination or term test (crib notes)
- o impersonating another student or allowing another person to impersonate oneself for the purpose of submitting academic work or writing any test or examination
- stealing or mutilating library materials
- o accessing tests prior to the time and date of the sitting
- o changing name or answer(s) on a test after that test has been graded and returned
- o submitting the same paper or portions thereof for more than one assignment, without discussions with the instructors involved.

Many courses in the I. H. Asper School of Business require group projects. Students should be aware that group projects are subject to the same rules regarding academic misconduct. Because of the unique nature of group projects, all group members must exercise extraordinary care to insure that the group project does not violate the policy on Academic Integrity. Should a violation occur on a group project, all group members will be held jointly accountable, no matter what their individual level of involvement in the specific violation.

Some courses, while not requiring group projects, encourage students to work together in groups (or at least do not prohibit it) before submitting individual assignments. Students are encouraged to discuss this issue as it relates to academic integrity with their instructor to avoid violating this policy.

In the I. H. Asper School of Business, all suspected cases of academic misconduct involving a graduate student (i.e. MBA, MFin, MSCM, MSc or PhD student) will be reported directly by the instructor to the Dean of the Faculty of Graduate Studies.

FACULTY BIOGRAPHY

I.H. Asper School of Business, The University of Manitoba

Rong (Ratchel) Zeng Department of Business Administration I.H. Asper School of Business

Rong (Ratchel) Zeng is an Assistant Professor at the Asper School of Business at the University of Manitoba. She received his Ph.D. from the Haskayne School of Business, the University of Calgary. Dr. Zeng's research interests lie at the intersection of strategy and global management, specifically emerging market firms. Dr. Zeng's research has been published in the *Journal of International Business Studies, Journal of World Business*, as well as featured in *Academy of Management Best Paper Proceedings*. Her research has been funded by Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council. Dr. Zeng has taught Strategic Management at the University of Calgary.

Before she came to Canada, Dr. Zeng was an Associate Professor at School of International Trade and Economics, Shanghai International Studies University (SISU) in China, where she used to teach Marketing courses. In her spare time, Dr. Zeng enjoys hiking in the Rockies and worship music.