

OPEN SESSION**Minutes of a Meeting of the Board of Governors held at 4:00 p.m. on June 21, 2005 in 160 Continuing Education Complex**

Present:

Mr. W. Anderson, Chair
 Mr. D. Ames
 Ms. A. Aziz
 Mr. A. Black
 Mr. S. Narine
 Dr. W. Norrie
 Mr. S. Reddy

Dr. D. Ruth
 Ms. J. Simons
 Mr. T. Strutt
 Dr. E.J.E. Szathmáry
 Ms. C. Van De Kerckhove
 Ms. S. Van Schie
Ms. D. Ward

**Also Present:**

Dr. T. Booth for Dr. M. Gabbert, UMFA Assessor
 Ms. M. Gallant, UMSU Assessor
 Ms. E. Goldie, V.P. (External)
 Dr. R. Kerr, V.P. (Academic) & Provost
 Dr. D. Jayas for Dr. J. Keselman, V.P. (Research)

Ms. D. McCallum, V.P. (Administration)
 Mr. T. Moyle, Support Staff Assessor
 Ms. M. Steeds, Alt. Support Staff Assessor
 Ms. C. Keachie, Governance Specialist
 Mr. J. Leclerc, University Secretary

Regrets:

Ms. M. Albi
 Dr. J. Cooper
 Dr. M. Gabbert
 Ms. E. Gordon
 Dr. J. Hoskins

Dr. J. Keselman
 Ms. L. Meeches
 Mr. T. Sargeant
 Dr. I. Smith

ANNOUNCEMENTS

1. Mr. Anderson welcomed Dr. Doug Ruth and Ms. Marsha Steeds to the Board as a new Board member and as the alternate Support Staff Assessor respectively.

FOR ACTION

2. **MINUTES:** May 17, 2005
 - 2.1 Approved as circulated

It was moved by Dr. Norrie, seconded by Mr. Black:



THAT the minutes of the May 17, 2005 Board of Governors Open Session meeting be approved as circulated.

CARRIED

2.2 Business Arising - none

3. **FROM EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE** - none

4. **FROM ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE**

4.1 Report of the Senate Committee on Awards dated (March 7, 2005)

It was moved by Dr. Szathmáry, seconded by Ms. Aziz:

THAT the Board of Governors approve the 12 new awards and 3 award amendments as set out in Appendix "A" of the Report of the Senate Committee on Awards (dated March 7, 2005).

CARRIED

4.2 Report of the Senate Committee on Awards (April 7, 2005)

It was moved by Dr. Szathmáry, seconded by Mr. Reddy:

THAT the Board of Governors approve the 11 new awards, 16 award amendments and one withdrawal as set out in Appendix "A" of the Report of the Senate Committee on Awards (dated April 7, 2005).

CARRIED

4.3 Program Proposal: Master of Science in Environment and Geography - Faculty of Graduate Studies

The Faculty of Graduate Studies is proposing the introduction of a MSc. Program in Environment and Geography. The proposal was endorsed by the Faculty Council of Graduate Studies on November 19, 2004, the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee on April 4, 2005 and was approved by Senate at its May 18, 2005 meeting.

The proposed Master of Science in Environment and Geography will meet several objectives. Specifically, it will (a) allow graduates with physical geography and geomatics training to have the distinction in their degree that will allow them to compete fairly for employment and other professional opportunities, (b) recognize geomatics as a new sub-discipline, which includes spatial data collection and analysis techniques, (c) increase the visibility and attractiveness of physical geography or geomatics research and training opportunities that are not captured by the existing MA, MEnv., MSc. (Geological Sciences), and MNRM programs, (d) provide a continuum of training in natural science approaches to geography and the environment, from the BSc. to the Ph.D. levels, the origin and endpoint of which are already in place at the University of Manitoba.

The required faculty and staff resources for the proposed program are already in place. Substantial computing, laboratory, and other space resources are available through the Centre for Earth Observation Science.

It was moved by Dr. Szathmáry, seconded by Mr. Black:

THAT the Board of Governors approve the proposal of the Faculty of Graduate Studies to introduce a MSc. Program in Environment and Geography [as recommended by the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee on April 4, 2005 and as approved by Senate on May 16, 2005].

CARRIED

4.4 Proposed Site for a new building: Aboriginal Students' Centre

The Senate Planning and Priorities Committee ("SPPC") was given an opportunity to review the proposals for a new Aboriginal Students' Centre on the Fort Garry Campus. SPPC has recommended that the building be located on Curry Place directly West of the Education Building.

Senate approved and recommended that the Board of Governors approve the recommendation of SPPC at its May 18, 2005 meeting.

It was moved by Dr. Szathmáry, seconded by Mr. Ames:

THAT the Board of Governors approve the site on Curry Place directly West of the Education Building for an Aboriginal Students' Centre [as recommended by the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee in its reported dated April 4, 2005 and as approved and recommended by Senate on May 18, 2005].

CARRIED

4.5 Proposed Site for a new building for a Visitor Centre (with facilities for Public Affairs, Security Services and Parking Services)

The Senate Planning and Priorities Committee ("SPPC") was given an opportunity to review the proposal for a new Visitor Centre (with facilities for Public Affairs, Security Services, and Parking Services). SPPC has recommended that the building be located on the northern side of the junction of University Crescent and Dafoe Road West, on the site of the current visitor centre.

Senate approved and recommended that the Board of Governors approve the recommendation of SPPC at its May 18, 2005 meeting.

Mr. Ames noted that there are a total of 43 stalls lost in parking lot "U" student parking; with the number of new buildings coming on stream onto campus, Mr. Ames asked if the University has looked at the impact on parking.

Mrs. McCallum responded that there are plans to expand the SD Lot which is west of the stadium, and the additional stalls in that lot should more than compensate for the loss of stalls in "U" Lot due to the construction of a new building.

Mr. Ames noted that his understanding was that there would be a significant increase in the number of people coming to campus; he wondered if this has been taken into consideration in terms of the number of parking stalls required.

Mrs. McCallum responded that there is no anticipation that enrollment will increase substantially this year; there is a 1% increase in enrollment expected. Mrs. McCallum also commented that most of the buildings will house existing staff, not new staff.

Mr. Moyle noted that page 73 of the agenda indicated that the new building to house the Visitor Centre, Public Affairs, Security and Parking Services will be funded in the long term by income from parking fees; he asked what implications this would mean for parking fees.

Mrs. McCallum responded that the funding will come from current existing parking revenues; currently any surplus from parking revenues goes into a provision and in this case, this particular building will be funded from this provision. Mrs. McCallum further noted there will be no extraordinary additional fees to parking other than those that are standard annual fees.

It was moved by Dr. Szathmáry, seconded by Dr. Norrie:

THAT the Board of Governors approve the site on the northern side of the junction of University Crescent and Dafoe Road West, on the site of the current visitor centre for a Visitor Centre (with facilities for Public Affairs, Security Services and Parking Services) [as recommended by the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee in its reported dated April 4, 2005 and as approved and recommended by Senate on May 18, 2005].

CARRIED

4.6 Proposed Site for a new building for the English Language Centre (ELC) and an expansion of the Physical Plant Building

The Senate Planning and Priorities Committee ("SPPC") was given an opportunity to review the proposals for the new building for the English Language Centre (ELC) and an expansion of the Physical Plant Building. SPPC has recommended that the building be located on Freedman Crescent directly east of the current Physical Plant Building.

Senate approved and recommended that the Board of Governors approve the recommendation of SPPC at its May 18, 2005 meeting.

Dr. Ruth recalled that at one time there seemed to be a suggestion of placing a second parking structure on this lot although it was seen as a primary lot at one

time.

Mrs. McCallum responded that this site will unlikely be turned into a parking structure because of the river view; it will likely be preserved for a building.

It was moved by Dr. Szathmáry, seconded by Mr. Black:

THAT the Board of Governors approve the site on Freedman Crescent directly east of the current Physical Plant Building for the English Language Centre (ELC) and an expansion of the Physical Plant Building [as recommended by the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee in its reported dated April 4, 2005 and as approved and recommended by Senate on May 18, 2005.]

CARRIED

4.7 Proposed Site for a Parking Structure: Bannatyne Campus

The Senate Planning and Priorities Committee ("SPPC") was given an opportunity to review the proposals for a Parking Structure at the Bannatyne Campus. SPPC has recommended that the structure be located on a 2,850 square metre footprint at the junction of Tecumseh Street and Bannatyne Avenue.

Senate approved and recommended that the Board of Governors approve the recommendation of SPPC at its May 18, 2005 meeting.

It was moved by Dr. Szathmáry, seconded by Ms. Simons:

THAT the Board of Governors approve a 2,850 square metre footprint at the junction of Tecumseh Street and Bannatyne Avenue as the site for the proposed parking structure [as recommended by the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee in its reported dated April 4, 2005 and as approved and recommended by Senate on May 18, 2005].

CARRIED

5. **FROM FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE**

5.1 Policy: Secondments

The University has not had a policy or procedure in the event of a secondment. This has sometimes resulted in a variety of individual contractual arrangements being negotiated which included provisions the University was unable to administer. Some secondment arrangements have conflicted with the provisions of collective agreements and pension legislation/plan provisions. This Policy and Procedure will ensure consistent and thorough arrangements have been documented.

This matter was referred back from a previous Board meeting to allow consultations with the various employee groups, unions/associations. These

consultations have taken place and some minor editorial changes for clarity have been included.

It was moved by Mr. Black, seconded by Ms. Van Schie:

THAT the Board of Governors approve the Policy on Secondments as presented.

CARRIED

5.2 Policy and Procedure: Identification Badges

At the initiative of the Central Workplace Safety and Health Committee, the University has been increasing the frequency with which departments have asked employees to wear identification badges. Concerns for employee and student safety have generated the request for identification of employees and contractors who would not normally have access to a specific area. This has also been a practice of many service units where customer service has a high priority.

This proposed policy deals with the issue of identification of employees or contractors who work on campus. This is a safety and security issue, as well as a customer service and University image issue. To date, various departments have been implementing this requirement on an ad hoc basis with varying degrees of acceptance by employees.

Consultations with employee groups and unions/associations have taken place. Some are supportive of the policy but UMFA is not and CAW had issues with certain requirements of the policy.

On behalf of Dr. Gabbert, Dr. Booth informed the Board that UMFA has responded in writing as to its position on badges, and has taken a position contrary to faculty wearing identification badges. Dr. Booth indicated that according to the proposed procedures, item #2.3.3 notes that "Deans, Directors and Heads of Administrative Units are responsible for taking the appropriate action to secure compliance with this Procedure"; Dr. Booth noted that UMFA feels the appropriate individuals to carry out such compliance should be Campus Security personnel. Dr. Booth indicated that for privacy reasons, security reasons, and for safety issues, UMFA does not support this policy and its relevant procedures.

Mrs. McCallum responded that UMFA members would have to wear badges if it was determined by the dean that a badge was necessary for safety or security reasons; for example, if the faculty member had access to a lab that contained radioactive material, it would be important for safety and security reasons that people in that lab had the appropriate authorization.

It was moved by Mr. Black, seconded by Mr. Ward:

THAT the Board of Governors approve the Policy on Identification Badges

as presented.

CARRIED

6. FROM MANAGEMENT RESOURCES AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

6.1 President's Priorities, 2005-2006

Procedure for Annual Report and Review of the President

The provisions of the Procedure for Annual Report and Review of the President outlines that the Management Resources and Compensation Committee will review and approve the President's priorities for the coming academic year after discussion with the President, and will recommend priorities for approval by the Board of Governors.

President's Priorities for 2005-2006

The Committee reviewed the President's priorities for the period July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006 as submitted by the President. After discussion with the President, the Committee recommends that the Board approve the priorities for the period 2005-2006 as outlined below.

Ms. Aziz asked if there was a possibility to have a Board Retreat to talk about the priorities. Ms. Aziz also indicated that she felt there should be an amendment to the 2005-2006 President's priorities under item #1, "overseeing the work of the Vice-President (Administration)".

It was moved by Ms. Aziz, seconded by Mr. Ames:

THAT the Board of Governors approve the addition to the President's Priorities 2005-2006 to include:

- **Lobbying for increased public funds with the end goal of eliminating the ancillary fees approved in the 2005-2006 Budget.**

DEFEATED

It was then moved by Dr. Norrie, seconded by Mr. Black:

THAT the Board of Governors approve the President's Priorities for 2005-2006 as follows:

1. **Follow-up the comprehensive review of the financial status of the University in 2004-05 by:**
 - a) **overseeing the work of the Vice-President (Administration) regarding,**
 - l) **Trust funds and endowments: to develop mechanisms that will assure the timely use of these funds**

- i) in the manner donors intended,
 - ii) where possible, to assist with operating and capital needs of units, and
 - iii) identify those funds that may be available for unrestricted operating and capital use by the University.
 - II) The four-year budget plan (2005-09): Finalize the plan, based on parameters approved by the President and Vice-Presidents, and extend the plan through 2009-2010.
 - b) overseeing the work undertaken by the Vice-President (Administration) and the Vice-President (Academic) and Provost regarding unit budgets,
 - i) to implement changes in administrative policy and practice (e.g., tuition revenue sharing arrangements; carryforward maxima; overhead charges), and
 - ii) to implement changes at the unit level, where necessary, as approved by the President and the Vice-Presidents
2. Oversee the work undertaken by the Vice-President (Academic) & Provost on,
- a) on the development of innovative undergraduate programs
 - i) in partnership with Red River College, and
 - ii) to reflect advances in knowledge, and anticipate emerging provincial and national needs.
 - b) review the University's admission systems and implement administrative changes as necessary.
3. Continue emphasis, with the Vice-President (Academic) & Provost on,
- a) the recruitment and retention of urban and rural Aboriginal students, and
 - b) the development of strategies to increase the enrolment of graduate students of aboriginal ancestry.
4. Continue to focus, with the Vice-President (External) on,
- a) Fundraising efforts, especially major gifts,
 - i) to support capital projects, and
 - ii) other emerging priorities of the University.

- b) improving government relations, by working with the Government Relations Office on outreach advocacy and communications programs, targeting specific stakeholders at the federal, provincial and municipal levels of government.
5. Oversee the work undertaken by the Vice-President (Research) in directing attention to the University's health research partners and other health research organizations by,
- a) finalizing formal affiliation agreement with the Winnipeg Regional Health Authority with regard to the Health Sciences Centre
 - b) further developing the University's relationship with the St. Boniface General Hospital, with a particular emphasis on the new Collaborative Research and Development Agreement between the University, St. Boniface General Hospital and Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada in the area of natural health products, and
 - c) concluding a memorandum of understanding between the University and the International Centre for Infectious Disease.
6. Oversee the work undertaken by the Vice-President (Research) in,
- a) furthering the development of the Richardson Centre for Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals, and
 - b) with the Vice-President (Administration), further development of Smartpark.
7. Continue efforts to improve internal communications (e.g., column in the Bulletin), etc.

CARRIED

7. FROM AUDIT COMMITTEE

7.1 Annual Financial Report, March 31, 2005

Mrs. McCallum spoke to the Annual Financial Report highlighting pertinent detailed information.

Included in the agenda material for review was:

- The Auditor's transmittal letter to the Chair of the Audit Committee; and
- The Auditor's transmittal letter to the Minister of Advanced Education and Training.

Also included for review and approval were:

The audited Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended March 31, 2005, together with the draft Auditor's Report. The draft Auditor's Report provides an unqualified audit opinion. The Audit Committee approved draft notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements at its March 9, 2005 meeting and there have been no significant changes to the notes since then. There were no changes in accounting policy in fiscal 2004/2005.

Mr. Ames referred Table 5, "Selected Statistics" on page 119; he referenced the column, "Full-Time Equivalent Students and noted the following statistics - the percentage of annual change between 2000-2001 was 3.7%, for 2001-2002 7.0%, for 2002-2003, 4.5%, for 2003-2004 6.5%, and for 2004/2005 it was 4.2%. Mr. Ames noted that the University is projecting there will be a 1% increase which he felt is a dramatic downturn. Mr. Ames indicated that it has been mentioned in the past that parking revenue was estimated at 1%, as well as student fees estimated at a 1% increase. Mr. Ames did not feel that it would be right to assume that the University enrollment was going to decrease over 3%.

Dr. Kerr responded that predictions have to be based on the information that is known rather than the things that are not known. He further noted that the participation rate is not known; it is the one thing that defies all prediction, so all that can be looked at are numbers from past years. Dr. Kerr further indicated that there is an area of confidence in terms of data, for example, the core of the data is based on Manitoba students and the percentage of those who normally attend University, in addition to the percentage of those students who attend the University of Manitoba. He also noted that the variables are very hard to predict; for example, the number of international students is difficult to predict.

Mr. Ames noted that if on the flip-side there is a 2.5% or 3% increase, then revenue streams and other sources that are being looked at would be significantly different than 1%; this would entail different parking issues and overcrowding of classrooms. Mr. Ames asked if there was an ancillary plan or ideas to deal with this possibility.

Dr. Szathmáry responded that the predictions are based on what is known as opposed to what is unknown. She further noted that there is an office of Institutional Analysis that deals with demographic projections. Dr. Szathmáry mentioned that the University is very concerned with treating students appropriately in terms of instructional facilities, adequate number of instructors, in addition to treating instructors appropriately so that they may have enough support through teaching assistants and otherwise. Dr. Szathmáry also noted that Institutional Analysis indicated that the data based on Manitoba indicate only a 1% increase; if there is a higher enrollment that is good news. Dr. Szathmáry felt it is better to err on the side of caution rather than anticipate a huge growth in enrollment, budget according to those projections, and then be faced with a shortfall of revenues.



Mr. Reddy asked what the experience has been in the past regarding the accuracy of predicting enrollment?

Dr. Szathmáry responded that traditionally it has been conservative in estimating the enrollment growth. Dr. Kerr noted that last year's prediction was 3% and the actual enrollment was 3.8%.

Mr. Ames noted that he understood that with budgeting why there would be a prudent direction taken; he indicated that it has been noted that there was a 2% increase and in 2001-2002 there was a 7% increase which he saw as a 5% difference.

Mrs. McCallum responded that this is a head-count which does not necessarily translate into a 7% increase in revenue, as it depends on how many courses each student is taking. Dr. Szathmáry noted that full-time equivalent means that a student is taking 60% of the required course load.

Mr. Ames understood why budgeting would be on the low side; however, having estimated low, he felt the University will have numbers that are higher than the budgetary estimates, which means that the streams of revenues will be higher, which translates into more students than what is being considered.

Dr. Szathmáry responded that the full-time student does not necessarily take the full-course load; students pay by the credit hour, so this is reporting as the head-count of the number of students. Mr. Ames indicated that perhaps it should be reported as credit hours.

Ms. Van Schie wondered if there was going to be a larger increase in student enrollment than predicted, what would happen and where would any additional revenues be allocated; would they be allocated towards addressing some of the concerns about the overcrowding in the classrooms or resources?

Dr. Szathmáry responded that it depends on which faculty was the one that received most of the increase in enrollment. She also indicated there is money that would be flowing under the current tuition fee revenue sharing policy to the relevant faculties.

Mr. Ward commented that if the University budgets conservatively for a 1% increase, and at the end of the year everything is exactly as predicted with the exception that enrollment is 2%, then there ought to be a surplus. Mr. Ward asked what would happen to any surplus?

Dr. Szathmáry responded that the notes to the financial statements include, for example those units that are required to generate money in order to sustain themselves such as the ancillary units; they are taxed and expected to contribute to various funds which sustain the University. Mrs. McCallum noted that some examples would be provisions funds, such as trying to build up a fund to support the carry-over policy; this could be used for other emergency expenses, and at



the end of the year it could show up as a surplus on the bottom line. She also mentioned that it could be used to build up the fiscal stabilization fund which is the University's "rainy-day" fund. Mrs. McCallum indicated that the University would like to try to keep it at \$7-million, which is approximately 2% of the total operating budget, but it is currently less than this.

Mr. Ward referred Schedule 1 of Tuition and Related Fees, for which he noted that under the heading of "Graduate Studies" it shows \$259,470 under "undergraduate"; further under University 1 lists \$226,569 under "graduate" revenues. Mr. Ward wondered why there would be anything listed for undergraduate fees under "graduate studies", and why there would be a figure for graduate studies listed under "University 1", as this pertains to new undergraduate students.

Mrs. McCallum responded that she would look into this and bring the details back to the next Board meeting.

It was moved by Mr. Black, seconded by Ms. Simons:

THAT the Board of Governors approve the Consolidated Financial Statements of the University of Manitoba, for the year ended March 31, 2005.

CARRIED

8. NEW BUSINESS

8.1 Policy: President Emeritus/Emerita

This revision to the existing policy converts the policy into the new governing documents format, establishes a process by which nominations for the title President Emeritus/Emerita will be considered by the Board of Governors, and makes the title available to those who have left the office of President of the University, but have not yet retired, as is the current policy for the title Dean Emeritus/Emerita.

Nominations for the title would be received by the University Secretary and considered first by Chancellor's Committee and then by the Board of Governors for approval.

A discussion took place regarding the motion; Mr. Ward felt that the motion should be amended to reflect an automatic President Emeritus/Emerita title to be bestowed upon a President after five years in their position.

It was then moved by Mr. Ward, seconded by Mr. Black:

THAT after five years, the title, "President Emeritus/Emerita" be conferred upon the President automatically.

DEFEATED

It was moved by Dr. Szathmáry, seconded by Dr. Norrie:

THAT the Board of Governors approve the Policy: President Emeritus/Emerita as presented, and rescind Policy 718 President Emeritus/Emerita, approved April 24, 2001.

CARRIED

8.2 Master Affiliation Agreement with the Winnipeg Regional Health Authority

The Master Affiliation Agreement has been revised to:

- 1) clarify the process by which joint appointments are made; and
- 2) recognize the change in name of the Winnipeg Hospital Authority to the Winnipeg Regional Health Authority.

It was moved by Dr. Szathmáry, seconded by Mr. Black:

THAT the Board of Governors approve the Master Affiliation Agreement between the University of Manitoba and the Winnipeg Regional Health Authority.

CARRIED

8.3 Master Affiliation Agreement with Diagnostic Services of Manitoba Inc.

The Province has established Diagnostic Services of Manitoba Inc. (DSM) as the agency responsible for diagnostic services for all regional health authorities in the Province. Previously each health authority was responsible for its own diagnostic services. This allows flexibility in areas such as staffing and use of diagnostic equipment.

The University has a close association with DSM, similar to that with the Winnipeg Regional Health Authority. For example, pathologists at DSM may also have appointments at the University. The agreement is identical to the proposed Master Affiliation Agreement with the Winnipeg Regional Health Authority except that "DSM" has replaced "WRHA" in the Agreement.

It was moved by Dr. Szathmáry, seconded by Mr. Ames:

THAT the Board of Governors approve the Master Affiliation Agreement between the University of Manitoba and Diagnostic Services of Manitoba Inc.

CARRIED

FOR INFORMATION

9. FROM EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - none
10. FROM ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE - none
11. FROM FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE - none

12. **FROM OTHER COMMITTEES** - none13. **REPORTS**13.1 Report of the President

Dr. Szathmáry augmented her written report, highlighting several issues. Among the highlighted issues she noted that the University of Manitoba was selected to host the inaugural lecture of the Royal Society of Canada's Governor General Lecture Series. She noted that Dr. Brenda Milner spoke about "The Many Faces of Memory" which was extremely well received. Dr. Szathmáry indicated that she was pleased to report that Dr. Joanne Keselman was honoured by the Faculty of Medicine for her outstanding contributions in support of health research. She also mentioned that Dr. Albert Friesen, adjunct professor in the Faculty of Pharmacy and Dr. Chandra Gupta, Obstetrics and Gynecology will be invested in the Order of Manitoba this summer.

13.2 Report of the UMSU President

Ms. Aziz noted that USMU has been busy planning Orientation for Fall, 2005 for students entering University 1. She also informed the Board that University of Manitoba staff and students were well represented at the recent Winnipeg Gay Pride Parade. Ms. Aziz indicated that there had been several meetings with other students in the Province regarding the improvement of transit to the University of Manitoba Fort Garry Campus, including the issue of rapid transit that will be discussed further with City of Winnipeg officials. She also noted that June 21, 2005 was National Aboriginal Day, and that some UMSU Executive members had the opportunity to participate in various events that were held throughout the day.

14. **OTHER INFORMATION** - none**MOTION TO MOVE TO CLOSED AND CONFIDENTIAL SESSION**

It was moved by Mr. Ward, seconded by Ms. Simons:

THAT the meeting move to Closed and Confidential Session.

CARRIED

MOTION TO ADJOURN

It was moved by Mr. Reddy, seconded by Ms. Aziz:

THAT the meeting adjourn.

CARRIED


Chair


University Secretary

