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## Linking Communities Project

- A five-year professional development project
- Partners include Faculty of Education (CUSB), Faculty of Science (CUSB), the Department of Education, and a school division
- All grade nine science teachers in a predominantly rural minority language school division - Division scolaire franco-manitobaine (DSFM)
- Goal is to enhance the teaching and learning of science


# First Languages in Canada 

59.1\% English<br>22.9\% French<br>18.0\% Other

Statistics Canada, 2002

## Francophones in Canada

By region:

- $81.4 \%$ in Québec
- $4.4 \%$ in English Canada

By province:

- 33.2\% in New Brunswick
- $4.2 \%$ in Manitoba
- $4.5 \%$ in Ontario
- $0.5 \%$ in Newfoundland


## Four Initiatives

1. Establishing profiles of teachers in terms of risk and protective factors
2. Developing research tools for evaluating effectiveness of the PD strategy
3. Exploring technological tools for building a professional learning community
4. Supporting teaching and learning in science through various activities

## Instructionally Congruent Model for Teaching Science to Minority-Language Students

Provide a rich array of discursive opportunities by:

- Expanding literacy experiences
- Using authentic materials
- Scaffolding discourse acquisition

Rivard \& Cormier (In press)

## Problem

To what extent do teachers in minority francophone schools use language-based activities in the science classroom?

## Three Case Studies of Science Teaching

- Involved over 50 hours of classroom observation
- Teachers had different profiles
- Teachers all taught the same unit for most of the study
- Involved observations of classroom organization, types of instructional events, and types of instructional materials


## Teacher profiles

|  | Paul | John | Linda |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mother tongue | English | French | French |
| Science background | Yes - physics | No | No |
| Experience teaching <br> secondary science | +13 years FL2 <br> $1^{\text {st }}$ year FL1 | 12 years FL1 | $1^{\text {st }}$ year FL1 |
| School | Rural <br> K-12 <br> 287 students | Rural <br> K-12 <br> 346 students | Rural <br> K-9 <br> 90 students |
| Class size | 22 | 15 | 7 |
| Observation period | $14 \times 1$ hour | $13 \times 55$ min | $7 \times 45-60$ min |

FIGURE 1: Comparison of How Three Teachers Organized Students for Instruction
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## Types of Instructional Activities

- Teacher Presentation
- T-S Interaction
- Reading Task
- Student Note-Taking
- Student Oral Report
- Solving Problems
- Using Technology
- Out-of-class Activity
- Assessment
- Manipulating Equipment
- Correcting Assignments
- Teacher Demonstration
- Class Discussion
- Small-group Discussion
- Writing Task
- Questioning Students
- Students Answering Questions
- Answering Questions from Texts
- Other

FIGURE 2: Comparison of Class Time Spent on Different Instructional Activities


## Types of Instructional Materials / Support

- Lab Equipment
- Worksheet
- Graph
- Instructional Model
- Notes Written by Students
- Blackboard
- Video/Film/CDRom
- Other
- Newspaper Article
- Magazine Article
- Textbook
- Internet-Based Text
- Text Written by Student
- Text Prepared by Teacher
- Other Text
- Diagram/Drawing/Photographs

FIGURE 3: Comparison of Class Time Spent Using Various Instructional Materials


## Estimated and Actual Class Time: Language-Based Activities (\%)

| Literacy Event | Paul |  | John |  | Linda |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | Actual | Estimate | Actual | Estimate | Actual |
| READING |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading Task | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ \text { combined } \end{gathered}$ | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Answering Questions from Texts |  | 15.7 | 0 | 7.7 | 5 | 18.1 |
| WRITING |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Writing Task | 0 | 11.5 | 0 | 6.9 | 0 | 22.2 |
| Note-taking | 15 | 15 | 10 | 21.4 | 5 | 18.1 |
| TALKING |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class Discussion | 10 | 3.8 | 10 | 4.9 | 5 | 0 |
| Small-Group Discussion | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Oral Report | 0 | 5.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.7 |

## Estimated and Actual Class Time: Text Use

| Type of Text | Paul |  | John |  | Linda |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | Actual | Estimate | Actual | Estimate |  |
| Newspaper Article | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Magazine Article | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textbook | 20 | 30.6 | 10 | 28.7 | 15 | 48.6 |
| Internet-based Text | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20.8 |
| Other Texts | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0.8 | 15 | 8.4 |

## Conclusions

- Reading, writing and talking are used infrequently in the classroom to support science learning.
- The textbook and the mandated curriculum dominate classroom practice.
- Teachers are receptive to using more language-based activities if provided support.
> More reading ... apart from the textbook (Paul)
$>$ Identifying suitable texts for students to read (John)
> Providing suggestions for materials (print and media) and sharing suitable websites and other resources among participants (Paul)
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