Bio-inspired smart materials

Genetically encoded, mussel-inspired bioadhesives for material science and medicine

Ned Budisa

^aBerlin Institute of Technology/TU Berlin, Department of Chemistry, Biocatalysis Group Müller-Breslau-Straße 10, D-10623 Berlin, Germany, nediljko.budisa@tu-berlin.de ^aUniversity of Manitoba, Department of Chemistry & Microbiology, Tier 1 CRC in Chemical Synthetic Biology 144 Dysart Rd, R3T 2N2 Winnipeg, MB, Canada, nediljko.budisa@umanitoba.ca

In my talk I will introduce orthogonal translation systems (OTS) with genetic code engineering in reprogrammed microbial strains as novel tools in bioinspired materials science. They offer a great potential to make protein-based polymers with spatial and temporal control of their adhesion and other properties. Next, the use of genetically encoded protein-based adhesives as renewable polymers is an economically viable alternative to related synthetic polymers. However, the main obstacle to the *in vivo* recombinant production of mussel foot proteins (Mfps) is the presence of a large amount of non-canonical amino acids (ncAAs) in their functional scaffolds with dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA, catechol as the functional group) as a notable example. These amino acids are installed by means of a complex post-translational machinery (PTM) that is not readily available in microbial production strains. The alternative approach would therefore be to incorporate ncAAs of interest directly during translation by an expanded genetic code i.e. to bypass the natural PTMs. In addition, the problem of the spatialtemporal control of the catechol reactivity can be solved by using this system (e.g. adhesive properties being controlled by UV-light). In the context of the recently acquired knowledge of the DOPA role in Mfps, I will provide a short overview of these achievements from the viewpoint of classical chemistry, peptidomimetics, recombinant DNA technology and the most advanced approaches of synthetic biology and xenobiology.

References

- 1. D. W. Urry, J. Phys. Chem. B 1997, 101, 11007-11028.
- 2. M. Amiram et al. Nat. Biotechnol. 2015, 33, 1272–1279.
- 3. B. Kollbe Ahn et al., *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 1–7, adapted.
- 4. B. P. Lee, P. B. Messersmith, J. N. Israelachvili, J. H. Waite, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 2011, 41, 99-132.
- 5. M. Hauf et al. ChemBioChem 2017, 18, 1819 1823.
- 6. S. R. MacEwan, A. Chilkoti, *Biopolymers* **2010**, *94*, 60–77.
- 7. Y. Zhao, Y. Wu, L. Wang, M. Zhang, X. Chen, M. Liu, J. Fan, J. Liu, F. Zhou, Z. Wang, Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 2218.
- 8. N. L. Pirman, et al. Nat. Commun. 2015, 6:8130.
- 9. A. Dumas, L. Lercher, C. D. Spicer, B. G. Davis, Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 50-69.
- 10. M. Guvendiren, P. B. Messersmith, K. R. Shull, *Biomacromolecules* 2008, 9, 122–128.
- 11. J. Li, H. Ejima, N. Yoshie, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2016, 8, 19047–19053
- 12. M. A. Gonzalez, Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1604743.
- 13. B. J. Kim, et al. *Biomacromolecules* **2014**, *15*, 1579–1585.
- 14. E. DeSimone, K. Schacht, A. Pellert, T. Scheibel, Biofabrication 2017, 9, 044104.
- 15. K. Schacht, T. Et al. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2816 –2820.
- 16. G. P. Maier, M. V. Rapp, J. H. Waite, J. N. Israelachvili, A. Butler, Science 2015, 349, 628-632.