

June 18, 2003

Minutes of a Meeting of Senate held on the above date at 1:30 p.m. in the Senate Chamber, Room 245 Engineering Building

Members Present

Dr. E.J.E. Szathmáry,
Chair
Mr. M. Ahsan
Mr. S. Alwis
Prof. D. Amundson
Prof. J. Anderson
Prof. T. Anna
Prof. A. Annakkage
Dean H. Bjarnason
Mr. R. Bodnaryk
Very Rev. R. Bozyk
Prof. B. Bright
Prof. R. Burleson
Prof. P. Choy
Prof. W. Chrisitie
Dean D. Collins
Prof. K. Coombs
Prof. J. Cooper
Prof. G. Crow
Dean W. Dahlgren
Dr. V. Dhruvarajan
Prof. W. Diehl-Jones
Prof. B. Dronzek
Prof. H. Duckworth
Mr. G. Dureault
Ms. O. Famuyide
Ms. M. Feaver
Prof. H. Friesen
Dean D. Fuchs
Prof. M. Gabbert
Prof. S. Gessler
Ms. E. Goldie
Dean J. Gray
Prof. P. Hawranik
Dean B. Hennen
Ms. J. Horner
Dr. J. Hoskins
Prof. T. Howorth
Dean D. Hrycaiko
Ms. R. Jaramilla
Dr. D. Jayas
Mr. K. Jindal
Prof. L. Kaminski
Dr. R. Kerr

Prof. P. King
Prof. C. Kristjanson
Ms. M. Kuzmeniuk
Mr. T. Mariash
Prof. G. McClarty
Mr. A. Mohamed
Prof. J. Nagy
Dean R. O'Kell
Prof. J. Page
Prof. W. Palatnick
Prof. B. Payne
Dean A. Percival
Prof. S. Pistorius
Prof. G. Ramu
Recteur P. Ruest
Dean D. Ruth
Mr. T. Saunders
Dean T. Secco
Dean H. Secter
Ms. A. Sen
Mr. D. Smith
Prof. R. Sparling
Rector J. Stapleton
Mr. G. Stewart
Prof. A. Tate
Mr. M. Tenenbein
Prof. R. van Acker
Prof. J. van Rees
Prof. L. Wallace
Prof. E. Walz
Prof. J. Welsh
Mr. S. Withanachchi
Mr. K. Yost
Prof. A. Young
Prof. P. Zahradka
Ms. B.M.M. Sawicki,
University Secretary
Mr. J. Leclerc
Academic Specialist

Assessors Present

Prof. N. Halden
Prof. B. Kops
Prof. R. Lobdell
Prof. K. Ogden

Ms. S. Ronald

Regrets

Prof. T. Berry
Dean R. Bird
Prof. M. Bowring
Prof. S. Cheng
Dean J. de Vries
Mr. J. Dueck
Mr. P. Dueck
Prof. E. Forget
Prof. L. Graff
Dean D. Gregory
Ms. B. Grobb
Dean J. Jamieson
Prof. B. Law
Prof. J. Long
Dr. D. Lonis
Prof. A. Louka
Prof. S. Ludwig
Prof. K. MacKay
Prof. S. Mai
Mr. N. Marnoch
Mr. M. McAdam
Dr. D. Morphy
Prof. C. Mossman
Dr. W. Norrie
Prof. J. Oakes
Prof. J. Owens
Ms. C. Presser
Ms. E. Prosser
Prof. D. Punter
Prof. G. Sevenhuysen
Prof. K. Simons
Ms. C. Steer
Prof. B. Stimpson
Dean J. Wiens
Dean D. Witty
Prof. K. Wrogemann

Absent

Mr. L. Alghoul

Mr. D. Bhatt
Prof. S. Bruce
Prof. B. Cameron
Dr. G. Gerbrandt
Ms. R. Gotthilf
Prof. E. Haque
Prof. P. Hultin
Prof. J. Irvine
Mr. S. Islam
Prof. T. Ivanco
Ms. L. Johnson
Ms. T. Mitchell
Mr. P. Olowonia
Mr. M. Puri
Prof. P. Singal
Prof. D. Smyth
Prof. L. Spearman
Prof. D. Strong
Prof. N. Subotincic
Prof. R. Théberge
Prof. G. Thompson
Prof. E. Troutt
Mr. D. VanDam
Prof. M. Vrontakis
Prof. J. Whiteley

Also Present

Ms. L. Chartier
Ms. D. Cindric
Prof. A. Gerhard
Ms. J. Gripp
Ms. S. Iwanko
Ms. T. Lussier
Mr. E. Unrau

Dr. Szathmáry introduced the following Senators elected by Faculty Councils: Thomas Berry (Science), Kevin Coombs (Medicine), Evelyn Forget (Medicine), Jan Horner (Libraries), Phil Hultin (Science), Tammy Ivanco (Arts), James Nagy (Medicine), Judith Owens (Arts), Carol Steer (Libraries), Gary Thompson (Phys. Ed.), Sabine Mai (Medicine), Steven Pistorius (Medicine).

Dr. Szathmáry also introduced Father Roman Bozyk, Principal of St. Andrew's College.

Dr. Szathmáry welcomed the following re-elected Senators back to Senate: Bernie Dronzek (Agricultural and Food Sciences), Alan Tate (Architecture), Mark Gabbert (Arts), Barbara Payne (Arts), Mary Kuzmeniuk (Arts), Arlene Young (Arts), Lori Wallace (Continuing Education), Judy Anderson (Medicine), Archie Cooper (Medicine), John van Rees (Science), and John Page (Science).

Dr. Szathmáry also noted that it was the last meeting for the following Senators: Dean Don Fuchs, after 11 years as Dean of Social Work, Professor Dale Amundson, after 10 years as Director of the School of Art, Dean Ranjana Bird, after 3 years as Dean of Human Ecology, Professor Karen Ogden, after 12 years as Vice-Provost (Academic Affairs), Mr. Mike McAdam, after 5 years as Vice-President (Administration) and Recteur Paul Ruest, after 22 years as a member of Senate and Recteur of Collège universitaire de Saint-Boniface. Dr. Szathmáry thanked these Senators for their contributions over the years. This was met with a round of applause.

I MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED IN CLOSED SESSION- None

II MATTERS RECOMMENDED FOR CONCURRENCE WITHOUT DEBATE

1. Report of the Faculty Council of Graduate Studies Page 17

Dean Secco advised that course number 023.727 on page 18 of the agenda should read 023.737 and that pages 19 and 20 should be reversed.

Dean Collins advised Senate that the Senate Executive Committee endorses the report to Senate.

It was MOVED by Dean Secco, seconded by Dean Ruth, THAT the reports of the Programs and Planning Committee of the Faculty of Graduate Studies on course proposals/modifications/deletions as approved by the Faculty Council of Graduate Studies May 21, 2003 be approved by Senate.

CARRIED

**2. Proposal for a Certificate in Applied Management:
Specialization in Records and Information Management Page 21**

The Continuing Education Division proposes the introduction of a Certificate in Applied Management: Specialization in Records Information Management. The proposal was developed with participation of the local chapter of the Association of Records Managers and Administrators, the Archives and Special Collections Department of the Libraries, the Department of History and the Provincial Archivist. The proposal was approved by the Continuing Education Division Council on March 26, 2003.

Professor Duckworth noted that the submission was quite long and that perhaps Senate might not need all the detail provided if they were to receive some sort of summary. Dr. Szathmáry noted that the Senate Executive Committee had given the matter considerable consideration and decided that it was best to include the entire proposal.

Dean Collins advised Senate that the Senate Executive Committee endorses the report to Senate.

It was MOVED by Dean Percival, seconded by Dean Secco, THAT the proposal for a Certificate in Applied Management: Specialization in Records and Information Management [as approved and recommended by the Continuing Education Division Council on March 26, 2003] be approved by Senate.

CARRIED

III MATTERS FORWARDED FOR INFORMATION

1. Report of the Senate Committee on Awards -Part A Page 91

At its meeting on May 21, 2003, the Senate Committee on Awards approved 12 new awards and 10 award amendments [as set out in the report of the Senate Committee on Awards dated May 21, 2003]. All these award decisions comply with the published guidelines of November 3, 1999, and are reported to Senate for information.

With regard to the I.H. Asper School of Business Entrance Scholarship in Advanced Languages and Management Diploma, Dean O’Kell asked which languages were considered advanced. Ms. Cindric noted that it would be however the courses were considered by the High Schools. Rector Ruest noted that it was the courses that are advanced, not the languages. Ms. Cindric agreed to seek the necessary clarifications and amend the report accordingly.

IV REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT

Dr. Szathmáry reported that the last month had been rather busy with ceremonial functions and important projects to wind up, noting that Convocation was a success.

Dr. Kerr reported that the Faculty of Pharmacy recently received its full accreditation following an extensive process and recognized this accomplishment. This was met with a round of applause.

Dr. Jayas reported that of the seven CFI new opportunities applications that were submitted, all seven were approved.

Dr. Szathmáry reported on the construction underway on campus, including the Engineering demolition and the Arthur Mauro student residence, which should be completed on schedule.

With regard to the Capital Campaign, \$189,855,059 in pledges and gifts have been received to date and that the Vice-President (External) believes these will exceed \$190-million by the end of June.

V QUESTION PERIOD

No questions were received by 10:00 a.m. of the day preceding the meeting, nor were any raised on the floor of Senate.

**VI CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES
OF THE MEETING OF MAY 14, 2003**

Dean Secco noted that the word research was spelled incorrectly on pages 4 and 8.

It was MOVED by Dean Secco, seconded by Professor Anderson, THAT the minutes of the Senate meeting held on May 14, 2003 be approved as amended.

CARRIED

VII BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES -None

**VIII REPORTS OF THE SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
AND THE SENATE PLANNING AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE**

1. Report of the Senate Executive Committee Page 102

It was MOVED by Dean Collins, on behalf of the Executive Committee, THAT Erin Prosser (UMSU) and Suzanne Ronald (GSA) be elected to the Senate Committee on Nominations for terms ending October 14, 2004.

CARRIED

**2. Report of the Senate
Planning and Priorities Committee**

Professor Halden noted that SPPC is currently considering proposals regarding a Diploma and Master's degree program, respectively, in Pediatric Dentistry, and a

Ph.D. program in Cancer Control, as well as a proposal to establish a the Spinal Cord Research Centre.

**IX REPORTS OF OTHER COMMITTEES OF SENATE,
FACULTY AND SCHOOL COUNCILS**

1. **Report of the Senate Committee on
Approved Teaching Centres** **Page 103**

Dean Collins advised Senate that the Senate Executive Committee endorses the report to Senate.

It was MOVED by Professor Dronzek, seconded by Dean O’Kell, THAT the report of the Senate Committee on Approved Teaching Centres dated May 28, 2003 be approved by Senate.

CARRIED

2. **Report of the Senate Committee on Nominations**

This annual report deals with vacancies on the standing committees of Senate for faculty members. Professor Dronzek added that a slate of student nominees would be brought forward to Senate in the fall. He added that in seeking nominations, the Committee seeks a balance between history, continuity and freshness on the Committees, while ensuring that all areas of the University are represented.

Professor Dronzek also noted that this was the first year that the Committee was able to present a full slate of nominees and thanked all those involved in the process for their help.

In response to a question from Professor Nagy, Professor Dronzek noted that the Committee is charged to present a slate of nominees for Senate’s consideration. Professor Duckworth pointed out that further nominations could be made from the floor of Senate. Professor Dronzek agreed, noting that if further nominations were made, a vote would be held.

Dr. Szathmáry called for further nominations. No further nominations were made.

It was MOVED by Professor Dronzek, on behalf of the Senate Committee on Nominations, THAT Senate approve the report of the Senate Committee on Nominations dated May 27, 2003.

CARRIED

3. Report of the Senate Committee on Awards Part B Page 106

At its meeting of May 21, 2003, the Senate Committee on Awards reviewed three new applications that appear to be discriminatory under policy number 419 -- The Vision Quest Bursary, the NFL/Budweiser Recreation Services Aboriginal Student Development Award and the TRILabs Scholarship. The Committee received letters of support for these awards from Dr. Jerry Gray, Dean of the Faculty of Management, Dr. Dennis Hrycaiko, Dean of the Faculty of Physical Education and Recreation Studies, Dr. Brian Stimpson, Associate Dean of the Faculty of Engineering, Kali Storm, Director of the Aboriginal Student Centre (page 47) and Dr. John Bate, Head of the Department of Computer Science. The Committee recommends that Senate approve and recommend that the Board of Governors approve all three awards.

Mr. Mariash asked with respect to the Vision Quest Bursary if there had ever been students in the Faculty of Management who had met all six criteria outlined in the terms of reference. Ms. Cindric noted that award terms of reference are developed in concert with the donor and the faculty and in this case she was confident that there are students who would be eligible for the award.

Dean Collins advised Senate that the Senate Executive Committee endorses the report to Senate.

It was MOVED by Professor Duckworth, seconded by Dean Fuchs, THAT the three new awards set out in Appendix "A" of the report of the Senate Committee on Awards Part B dated May 21, 2003 be approved by Senate.

CARRIED

X ADDITIONAL BUSINESS

Dr. Szathmáry turned the Chair over to the Vice-Chair of Senate, Dr. Kerr, in order to present the Report of the Strategic Planning Committee.

1. Report of the Strategic Planning Committee Page 118

Dr. Szathmáry noted that the development of a new institutional strategic plan, with a sharper academic focus than the 1998 plan, was one of her priorities approved by the Board of Governors for the 2002-03 academic year. In consultation with the Vice-President (Academic) and Provost and the Vice-President (Research), terms of reference were established and the President appointed a Strategic Planning Committee, which held its first meeting on September 11, 2002. The Committee included representation from the Board of Governors, the Senate, Faculty-at-large, support staff and students.

The Committee requested written submissions that addressed its terms of reference, undertook review and deliberations of the challenges the institution faced and its own capacity to handle them. The Committee distributed an Interim Report on February 6, 2003, and invited comments. Public hearings were held February 24-26, 2003, after which the Committee considered the comments received and developed a final report.

Dr. Szathmáry presented the report, outlining the five institutional priorities for attaining the University's vision, each of which challenges the University to action. The priorities are to:

- Provide Access to an Exceptional Education
- Attract and Retain the Best
- Be a Centre for Research and Graduate Education that Makes a Difference to our Province, our Nation and the our World
- Provide the Human, Physical and Technological Infrastructure Necessary for Learning and Research
- Be at the Centre of our Community: On Manitoba.

The plan also includes an obligation for the President to report annually to Senate and the Board of Governors on the progress made in attaining unit-specified goals. Such goals will address the challenges faced by the institution under the five priorities for success.

Professor Nagy was enthusiastic about the possibilities of the report, but wondered how we meet the challenges and who is the "we" referred to in the report. Dr. Szathmáry responded that every member of the University community is part of the "we" and should seek to address the priorities and challenges as identified in the strategic plan. The success of the strategic plan depends on specialists and experts in all areas of the University embracing the concepts and seeking means to address the challenges as outlined in the report.

Professor Anderson applauded the fact that faculties will have the opportunity to specifically address their challenges within this context, and wondered how Senate might have the chance to discuss ideas on an institutional level. Dr. Szathmáry noted that the Senate Executive Committee could take that under advisement. She further noted that bulk of the proposals will be addressed by individual units and any specific proposals would be brought to Senate for discussion and approval as required.

Professor Kaminski felt that the strategic plan was impressive and gives clear direction, but was concerned that the Integrated Campus Plan was not being included within the process. Further he expressed the view that there is a strong need to become more than a commuter or 8-5 campus. Dr. Szathmáry felt that the strategic plan allowed for such challenges to be addressed and encouraged interested members of the University community to develop such ideas within the

five priority areas.

Professor Tate in supporting Professor Kaminski's comments wondered whether there might be a correlation between utilitarianism in the physical space on campus and the challenges in the bricks and mortar portion of the Capital Campaign. Dr. Szathmáry responded that she did not, but recognized that there are needs to be addressed. Professor Tate expressed his concern that if the University does not project the importance of the physical environment in which we work, it will become more difficult to promote it to the external community. Dr. Szathmáry directed Senators to the paragraph under priority 4 on page 126 of the agenda which states "Faculty, staff and students are more likely to join a university and remain at that university, if the physical environment in which they work is visually attractive as well as functional."

Professor Cooper spoke as a member of the Strategic Planning Committee and noted that she was pleased with the amount of qualitative references in the report, adding that if units were to construct their strategic plans based on this model, they will generate great institutional ideas.

Professor Gabbert noted that it was inevitable that such a plan would have a utilitarian tone when the University is under the pressure that it is. He expressed concern that there is an absence of elaboration on the more traditional role of the University, i.e., developing and expanding critical thought, freedom, the advancement of knowledge and knowledge for its own sake. He noted that he feels that the University has an obligation to remind the world that there is a whole other aspect of what the University is than that which is easily quantified.

Mr. Yost expressed a concern that there is no specific reference to the need to promote the on-going pedagogical development of Faculty members as teachers.

With regard to the points raise by Professor Gabbert, Dr. Szathmáry quoted from page 122 of the report "...the knowledge gained will provide them with lasting value according to their own yardsticks of importance, not only society's judgements".

Dean Ruth noted that the report outlines five institutional priorities and that the challenges noted are only examples, and that many more challenges are likely to be identified and worked upon under the framework of the five institutional priorities. Dr. Szathmáry agreed, noting that the list of challenges was not exclusive.

Dean Collins advised Senate that the Senate Executive Committee endorses the report as a planning document, with the understanding that any specific proposals developed as a result of the strategic plan will be brought to Senate for consideration as required.

It was MOVED by Dr. Szathmáry, seconded by Dean Secco, THAT “Building for a Bright Future: A strategic academic plan for the University of Manitoba” be endorsed to Senate as a planning document.

CARRIED

Dr. Szathmáry resumed the Chair.

XI ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 2:59 p.m.

These minutes, pages 1 to 9, combined with the agenda, pages 17 to 133 distributed earlier, and the materials distributed at the door, comprise the Senate minutes of the meeting of June 18, 2003.

/jml

S:\Governance\SENATE\Minutes\2003\03June18.wpd