Minutes of a Meeting of Senate held on the Above Date at 1:30 p.m. in the Senate Chamber, Room 245, Engineering Building

| Members Present | Mr. G. McClarty | Prof. K. Ogden | Dr. C.E. Haque |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ms. N. Michaud-Oystryk | Ms. S. Ronald | Dean B. Hennen |
| Dr. R. Kerr, Acting Chair | Ms. A. Morrow | Prof. E. Troutt | Ms. C. Isaak |
| Ms. M. Alcock | Prof. C. Mossman |  | Prof. C. Kristjanson |
| Prof. D. Amundson | Ms. P. Nicholls | Regrets | Prof. B. Law |
| Prof. J. Anderson | Dr. W. Norrie |  | Prof. D. Lonis |
| Prof. A. Angel | Dr. V. Olender | Dean R. Bird | Mr. N. Louizos |
| Prof. T. Anna | Mr. P. Olownia | Prof. D. Bose | Mr. D. Muller |
| Dean H. Bjarnason | Prof. W. Palatnick | Dean J. de Vries | Mr. V. Narayanasami |
| Prof. M. Bowring | Prof. J. Page | Prof. L. Graff | Mr. M. Niziol |
| Prof. B. Bright | Dean A. Percival | Dean D. Gregory | Mr. G. Ramos |
| Mr. S. Chandana | Ms. C. Presser | Prof. T. Howorth | Dr. J. Raymond |
| Prof. W. Christie | Prof. D. Punter | Dr. J. Keselman | Dean H. Secter |
| Dean D. Collins | Prof. G. Ramu | Prof. B. Kops | Ms. M. Singal |
| Prof. J. Cooper | Prof. W. Rennie | Mr. C. Kozier | Ms. M. Singh |
| Prof. G. Crow | Prof. R. Riyaz | Mr. M. Kula | Rector J. Stapleton |
| Dean W. Dahlgren | Mr. A. Robertson | Mr. B. McKenty | Prof. D. Strong |
| Ms. D. Defries | Recteur P. Ruest | Dr. D. Morphy | Prof. R. Théberge |
| Prof. W. Diehl-Jones | Dean A. Secco | Dean R. O'Kell | Prof. R. van Acker |
| Prof. B. Dronzek | Prof. G. Sevenhuysen | Prof. B. Payne | Prof. M. Vrontakis |
| Prof. H. Duckworth | Prof. D. Smyth | Dean D. Ruth | Mr. G. Walker |
| Mr. G. Dureault | Prof. R. Sparling | Ms. A. Sen | Prof. E. Walz |
| Prof. M. Feld | Prof. L. Spearman | Prof. K. Simons |  |
| Prof. H. Friesen | Ms. K. Spiers | Prof. P. Singal | Also Present |
| Dean D. Fuchs | Prof. B. Stimpson | Mr. D. Smith |  |
| Prof. M. Gabbert | Prof. N. Subotincic | Prof. J. Svenne | Mr. D. Barbour |
| Prof. S. Gessler | Prof. A. Tate | Dr. E.J.E. Szathmáry | Ms. L. Jouan |
| Ms. J. Gill | Prof. L. Taylor | Prof. J. van Rees | Mr. G. Miller |
| Mr. R. Gill | Prof. L. Wallace | Dean J. Wiens | Ms. S. Miller |
| Ms. E. Goldie | Prof. R. Wiest | Dean D. Witty | Prof. T. Nesmith |
| Prof. L. Grant | Prof. J. Williams | Prof. A. Young | Dr. L. Smith |
| Mr. S. Gregg | Prof. K. Wrogemann |  |  |
| Prof. P. Hawranik | Prof. P. Zaharadka | Absent |  |
| Dr. J. Hoskins | Ms. B. Sawicki, |  |  |
| Dean D. Hrycaiko | University Secretary | Mr. M. Banman |  |
| Prof. T. Ivanco | Mr. J. Leclerc, | Prof. S. Bruce |  |
| Dean J. Jamieson | Academic Specialist | Prof. P. Choy |  |
| Prof. E. Judd |  | Ms. T. Desrochers |  |
| Prof. L. Kaminski | Assessors Present | Dr. V. Dhruvarajan |  |
| Prof. P. King |  | Prof. A. Esau |  |
| Mr. J. Kuffner | Dr. B. Cameron | Mr. J. Fiorino |  |
| Ms. M. Kuzmeniuk | Mr. P. Dueck | Mr. D. Foster |  |
| Prof. J. Long | Prof. N. Halden | Dr. G. Gerbrandt |  |
| Prof. A. Louka | Dr. D. Jayas | Mr. S. Gordienko |  |
| Prof. S. Ludwig | Dr. R. Lobdell | Dean J. Gray |  |
| Mr. M. McAdam | Mr. N. Marnoch | Ms. J. Grift |  |

Dr. Kerr reminded Senators to identify themselves and their constituencies when they speak.
I MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED IN CLOSED SESSION - None
II MATTERS RECOMMENDED FOR CONCURRENCE WITHOUT DEBATE

1. Report of the Senate Committee on Curriculum and Course Changes

Page 17
The Senate Committee on Curriculum and Course Changes last met on September 17, 2002 to consider minor course changes from the following units: Medicine ( +0 credit hours), Nursing ( +3 credit hours) and Pharmacy (+0 credit hours).

Professor Cooper advised that the Senate Executive Committee endorses the report to Senate.

It was MOVED by Professor Dronzek on behalf of the Committee, THAT the Report of the Senate Committee on Curriculum and Course Changes dated September 17,2002 be approved by Senate.

## CARRIED

III MATTERS FORWARDED FOR INFORMATION

1. Report of the Senate

Committee on Awards
Page 20
At its meeting on September 18, 2002, the Senate Committee on Awards approved four new awards and three award amendments [as set out in the report of the Senate Committee on Awards dated September 18, 2002]. All these award decisions comply with the published guidelines of November 3, 1999, and are reported to Senate for information.

In response to a question regarding the term "high academic standards" as an award criteria, Mr. Dueck and Professor Dronzek noted that such a term is used to ensure flexibility in determining the recipients of such awards.

IV REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT - None
V QUESTION PERIOD - None

VI CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF OCTOBER 2, 2002

It was noted that Ms. Morrow and Prof. Hawranik should be shown as having been present and Professor Long should be shown as present.

It was MOVED by Professor Duckworth, seconded by Dean Secco, THAT the minutes of the meeting of October 2, 2002 be approved as amended.

## CARRIED

VII BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES - None
VIII REPORTS OF THE SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND THE SENATE PLANNING AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE

1. Report of the Senate Executive Committee

Page 25
2. Report of the Senate

Planning and Priorities Committee
a) Report on the 2003/04 to 2005/06 Resource Allocation Process, the 2003/04 Estimates Process and Capital Priorities for 2003/04 Page 26

Each year, SPPC is given the opportunity to review and comment upon matters relating to the resource allocation process, the estimates process and the capital priorities list. Professor Halden noted that in this report SPPC seeks to provide Senate with an update on these activities.

Mr. McAdam noted that with regard to the estimates process, the Chair of the Board of Governors, the President and the Vice-Presidents recently met with the Council on Post-Secondary Education to make an oral presentation relating the estimates document that the University submitted to the Council.

1. Proposal of the Faculty of Science for a B.Sc. Minor in Ecology Page 27
a) Report of the Senate Committee on Curriculum and Course Changes

Page 40
b) Report of the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee Page 41

The Faculty of Science is proposing the creation of a B.Sc. Minor in Ecology. The creation of this minor simply involves the re-grouping of existing courses. According to the proposal "The Ecology minor will allow students in other disciplines to pursue an area of related academic interest".

COPSE approved the statement of intent on November 15, 2001.
Professor Cooper advised that the Senate Executive Committee endorses the report to Senate.

It was moved by Dean Jamieson, seconded by Recteur Ruest, THAT Senate approve and recommend that the Board of Governors approve the Proposal of the Faculty of Science for a B.Sc. Minor in Ecology [as set out in the report of the Senate Committee on Curriculum and Course Changes dated September 17, 2002 and in the Report of the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee date June 4, 2002].
2. Report of the Senate Committee on

Academic Computing re the "Proposal
for a Digital Copyright Clearance Pilot Project"
CARRIED

The Senate Committee on Academic Computing [SCACOM] reviewed and endorsed a Proposal for a Digital Copyright Clearance Pilot Project. The pilot project was developed by Lori Wallace, Director of Distance Education and revised by a sub-committee of SCACOM.

The pilot project hopes to:
a) "establish a digital copyright clearance service that would entail researching contact information for copyright holders, requesting clearance and tracking and paying clearance charges",
b) "establish copyright clearance guidelines and procedures"; and
c) "provide regular workshops for faculty and staff".

Professor Cooper advised that the Senate Executive Committee endorses the report to Senate.


#### Abstract

It was MOVED by Dr. Lobdell, on behalf of the Committee, THAT Senate support the Digital Copyright Clearance Pilot Project, and urge that resources be allocated to the project.


CARRIED
3. Report of the Senate Committee on Rules and Procedures re proposed Faculty Council Bylaws for the Faculty of Environment

Page 46
The Senate Committee on Rules and Procedures is charged to review and edit individual Faculty/School Council Bylaws. The Committee reviewed proposed Faculty Council Bylaws from the Faculty of Environment and found them to be in compliance with Policy 402 "Faculty and School Council General Bylaw". The Committee therefore recommends that Senate approve the Faculty of Environment Bylaw.

Professor Punter noted that in Section 2.1 d), the membership only provides for academics holding nil appointments. He suggested that it be amended to include those academics who hold salaried and nil salaried appointments. Professor Anderson suggested that it be amended to read " all those academics holding appointments".

It was MOVED by Dean Secco, seconded by Professor Punter that section 2.1 d ) of the Bylaw be amended by replacing it with the phrase "all those academics holding appointments".

## CARRIED

Professor Punter also noted that section 2.4 (c) should read "The establishment of, the abolition of, or any changes in divisions, departments, chairs, lectureships in the Faculty;" [changes in bold]. Dean Secco agreed to these changes.

Professor Cooper advised that the Senate Executive Committee endorses the report to Senate.

It was MOVED by Dean Secco, on behalf of the Committee, THAT the Faculty of Environment Council Bylaw [as set out in the Report of the Senate Committee on Rules and Procedures dated October 7, 2002] be approved by Senate as amended.


#### Abstract

4. Report of the Senate Committee on Nominations re vacancies on Senate Standing Committees

Professor Dronzek noted that the report consists of the remaining nominations to standing committees of Senate of academic staff.

\section*{CARRIED} evacancies on Senate Standing Committes

\section*{It was MOVED by Professor Dronzek, on behalf of the Committee, THAT Senate approve the report of the Senate Committee on Nominations dated November 1, 2002.}


Professor Duckworth raised a point of order, noting that the Chair should call for further nominations prior to calling the question. Dr. Kerr agreed with the point of order and called for further nominations. Not hearing any, Professor Dronzek's motion was then

## X ADDITIONAL BUSINESS <br> X

1. Discussion Paper on Information Technology

CARRIED
a) Report of the Senate Committee
a) $\begin{aligned} & \text { Report of the Senate Committee } \\ & \text { on Academic Computing }\end{aligned}$

Page 53

Page 91
b) Report of the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee

$$
\text { Page } 93
$$

In June of 2002, Mr. Michael McAdam, Vice-President (Administration), circulated a discussion paper on Information Technology, prepared by IST, to Deans and Directors. At the same time, Mr. McAdam invited the commentary of the Senate Committee on Academic Computing and SPPC.

Mr. McAdam observed that the discussion paper was prepared by the staff of IST and is for discussion purposes and that none of it is carved in stone. He also noted that his office had received a good amount of feed back and encouraged individuals who wished to provide their views to do so.

With regard to the report of SPPC, Professor Halden noted that the Committee endorses the report for information purposes and as a basis for institutional dialogue.

Dr. Lobdell, speaking on behalf of the Senate Committee on Academic Computing, noted that members of the committee expressed support for recommendations 2, 4 and 12.

Professor Feld noted that with regard to a technology fee, Mr. McAdam was quoted in the Winnipeg Free Press as being opposed to such a fee. He asked if the quote was
accurate. Mr. McAdam said that it was indeed correct, as it is his view that any fee is a tuition fee and as such is currently part of the provincial tuition freeze, adding that he would not consider such a fee in the upcoming fiscal year.

Mr. Kusie asked Mr. McAdam if such a fee would be considered in subsequent years if the provincial tuition fee freeze were to be lifted. Mr. McAdam replied by saying that there should be only one tuition fee for students and that they shouldn't be "nickled and dimed" to death by various other fees.

Professor Duckworth inquired as to the next steps in the discussion process and asked that Senate be informed during the process and be made aware of the issues raised by other stakeholder groups. Mr. McAdam noted that it was his intention to involve the Senate Committee on Academic Computing in the next round of consultations and that it was his hope to have the Committee serve as an oversight body for computing policy issues in the future.

Dean Jamieson suggested that it might be a good idea to delegate the Senate Committee on Academic Computing to work with Mr. McAdam to this end.

Professor Stimpson wondered whether it would be considered a new fee if faculties and schools required students to have a laptop or pay a laptop fee. Mr. McAdam said that it would be, noting that the guiding principle is that students should know how much their program will cost in advance of their starting the program.

# It was MOVED by Dean Jamieson, seconded by Professor Duckworth, THAT Senate direct the Senate Committee on Academic Computing to report back to Senate on the IT Discussion Paper after consulting with the Vice-President (Administration) about the responses and submissions from Faculties and Schools. 

## CARRIED <br> ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 2:17 p.m.
These minutes, pages 1 to 7 , combined with the Agenda, pages 17 to 93 distributed earlier and the attachments handed out at the meeting, comprise the minutes of the Senate meeting held November 6, 2002
/jml

