Minutes of a meeting of Senate held on the above date at 1:30 p.m. in the Senate Chamber, Room 245 Engineering Building.

| Those Present: | Dean R. Magsino | Mr. J. Bruce | Ms. J. Gnitecki |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prof. K. Markstrom | Ms. M. Clarke | Ms. L. Herzog |
| Dr. J. Gardner, Chair | Ms. Michaud-Oystryk | Mr. I. Gusdal | Ms. R. Kazina |
| Prof. M. Abrahams | Dr. D. Morphy | Ms. N. Kolotylo | Prof. E. Kroeger |
| Prof. D. Amundson | Prof. C. Mossman | Ms. P. Reichert | Mr. T. Laluk |
| Prof. J. Anderson | Dean R. O'Kell |  | Ms. M. Lee |
| Prof. A. Angel | Dr. V. Olender | Delegate of Deputy | Dr. R. Legal |
| Ms. L. Archer | Prof. J. Page | Minister of Education: | Prof. G. McClarty |
| Ms. G. Bains | Mr. R. Patterson | Mr. D. Smith | Prof. B. McKenzie |
| Prof. F. Berkes | Prof. B. Payne |  | Ms.. M. Magsino |
| Prof. R. Bhullar | Dean A. Percival | Director, Student | Ms. P. Nicholls |
| Dean R. Bird | Ms. C. Presser | Advocacy: | Ms. A. Outingdyke |
| Dean H. Bjarnason | Prof. R. Roughley | Dr. L. Smith | Prof. N. Pettigrew |
| Prof. D. Bose | Recteur P. Ruest |  | Dr. J. Raymond |
| Prof. J. Boyd | Dean D. Ruth | Regrets: | Prof. R. Roy |
| Prof. R. Burleson | Ms. N. Sabljic |  | Mr. P. Saydak |
| Mr. N. Chadha | Dean A. Secco | Prof. R. Bose | Dean H. Secter |
| Prof. D. Chow | Prof. K. Simons | Prof. C. Cameron | Prof. D. Strong |
| Prof. J. Cooper | Prof. D. Smyth | Dean D. Collins | Ms. W. Thiessen |
| Mr. B. Dalgliesh | Prof. M. Stern | Prof. E. Comack | Prof. K. Vessey |
| Dean J. de Vries | Dean B. Stimpson | Dean M. Cox | Dr. G. Walz |
| Dean B. Dronzek | Prof. G. Tabisz | Dean F. de Toro | Prof. K. Wrogemann |
| Prof. H. Duckworth | Prof. A. Tate | Dr. G. Glavin | Mr. S. Yurashchuk |
| Prof. M. Feld | Prof. L. Taylor | Dean D. Gregory |  |
| Dean D. Fuchs | Prof. M. Thomas | Mr. G. Hilderman |  |
| Prof. M. Gabbert | Prof. J.van Rees | Prof. L. Horne |  |
| Ms. L. Goldenberg | Prof. L. Wallace | Prof. L. Kaminski |  |
| Prof. A. Gole | Prof. J. Whiteley | Dr. J. Keselman |  |
| Prof. L. Grant | Prof. J. Williams | Prof. W. Kops |  |
| Dean J. Gray | Prof. A. Young | Mr. J. Leclerc |  |
| Prof. L. Guse | Ms. B. Sawicki, | Dr. B. Levin |  |
| Dean B. Hennen | University Secretary | Dr. A. Mauro |  |
| Mr. J. Hochman | Ms. S. Plett, | Prof. W. Rennie |  |
| Warden J. Hoskins | Recording Secretary | Prof. J. Svenne |  |
| Prof. T. Howorth |  | Dr, E.J.E. Szathmáry |  |
| Dean D. Hrycaiko | Assessors present: | Prof. P. Zahradka |  |
| Ms. K. Inskip |  |  |  |
| Prof. J. Irvine | Ms. C. Bready | Absent: |  |
| Dean J. Jamieson | Prof. B. Cameron |  |  |
| Prof. E. Judd | Prof. W. Dahlgren | Prof. S. Abeysekera |  |
| Prof. P. Kaufert | Prof. N. Halden | Prof. l. Adamson |  |
| Ms. T. Kowal | Ms. A. Jones | Mr. J. Aird |  |
| Prof. R. Kueneman | Mr. R. Levin | Prof. B. Blakley |  |
| Mr. J. Kuffner | Dr. R. Lobdell | Prof. W. Christie |  |
| Rector D. Lenoski | Prof. K. Ogden | Prof. D. Cooley |  |
| Prof. J. Long |  | Mr. P. Dueck |  |
| Mr. Q. Luu | Also present: | Mr. S. Fletcher |  |
| Prof. K. MacKay |  | Mr. G. Forster |  |
| Mr. M. McAdam | Members of United | Prof. J. Gartner |  |
| Ms. H. Mckeen | Way campaign: | Dr. G. Gerbrandt |  |

1 MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED IN CLOSED SESSION - ni]
II CANDIDATES FOR DEGREES, DIPLOMAS AND CERTIFICATES - OCTOBER 2000

Page 17
It was MOVED by Professor Feld, on behalf of the Executive Committee, that the list of graduates provided to the University Secretary by the Director of Student Records be approved, subject to the right of Deans and Directors to initiate late changes with the Director of Student Records up to October 6, 2000.

## CARRIED

REPORT ON MEDALS AND PRIZES TO BE AWARDED AT THE OCTOBER CONVOCATION

It was MOVED by Professor Feld, on behalf of the Executive Committee, that the report on medals and prizes provided to the University Secretary be approved by Senate.

CARRIED

## IV MATTERS RECOMMENDED FOR CONCURRENCE WITHOUT DEBATE

## 1. Faculty of Engineering Calendar Amendment Page 18

The Faculty of Engineering is recommending an amendment to section 2.1 regarding the Dean's Honour List in the Undergraduate Calendar. The amendment is the addition of a statement that for graduating students who were on the Dean's Honour List in the previous evaluation the minimum requirement of 28 credit hours may be waived, provided that an EGPA of 3.50 or better is maintained.

Professor Feld advised that the Senate Executive Committee endorses the proposal to Senate.

It was MOVED by Dean Ruth, seconded by Dean Jamieson, THAT Senate approve the proposed amendment to the Faculty of Engineering section 2.1 of the Undergraduate Calendar 2001-2002.

CARRIED

## $V$ MATTERS FORWARDED FOR INFORMATION

1. UM/COPSE New (or Substantial Change) Program Approval Process - Undergraduate Addendum

This flow chart (of the approval process for undergraduate programs) reflects changes at the Statement of Intent stage. COPSE no longer requires their approval to proceed to the formal proposal stage - but still wishes the opportunity to comment before the University develops and approves formal program proposals. The appeal process at the Statement of Intent stage has also been removed.

There is a slight error on both the undergraduate and graduate flowcharts, which will be corrected. The revised flowcharts will be distributed with the next Senate agenda.
2. UM/COPSE New (or Substantial Change) Program Approval Process - Graduate

Addendum
This flow chart (of the approval process for graduate programs) reflects changes at the Statement of Intent stage. COPSE no longer requires their approval to proceed to the formal proposal stage - but still wishes the opportunity to comment before the University develops and approves formal program proposals. The appeal process at the Statement of Intent stage has also been removed.

REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT
The written report of the President was circulated at the door.
Dean Jamieson noted that senior members of the Administration had at least two meetings with the Minister of Education in recent months, and he wondered whether any information is available with respect to prospects for funding for the next year.

Mr. McAdam advised that the University is continuing to press the case for additional funding, and that the institution has asked that information regarding funding be released earlier next year.

Dr. Gardner stated that enrolment levels are increasing, and are now close to where enrolment levels were in the early 1990s. However, staff levels have dropped significantly, and there is concern in his office regarding this.

Mr. McAdam indicated that the Board of Governors approved the Estimates document at its meeting in September, and that it has been forwarded to the Council on Post-Secondary Education.

## VII QUESTION PERIOD

No questions were submitted in written form, nor were any asked from the floor of Senate.
VIII CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES
OF THE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 6, 2000
It was MOVED by Dean Hennen, seconded by Dean Magsino, THAT the minutes of the Senate meeting held on September 6, 2000 be approved with the following amendments: Mr. J Kuffner, Mr. R. Levin and Professor P. Zahradka should be shown as present, and Professor A. Young should be shown as having sent regrets.

CARRIED

1. Report of the Senate Executive Committee

Comments of the Executive Committee will accompany the report on which they are made.
2. Report of the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee

Professor Halden indicated that SPPC will be considering a number of program submissions in the next few weeks.
BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES - nil
REPORTS OF THE SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND THE SENATE PLANNING AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE

- Cal.

REPORTS OF OTHER COMMITTEES OF SENATE, FACULTY AND SCHOOL COUNCILS

1. Report of the Senate

Planning and Priorities Committee
With Respect to Campus-Wide Technology Needs
Page 20
Senate Executive, at its meeting of April 26, 2000, referred a report of the Senate Committee on Academic Computing (SCAC) on campus-wide technology needs to the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee.

The report of the SCAC sought to make some estimate of the rates of computeroriented technological changes that are occurring in the classroom and in some forms of research. The Committee on Academic Computing attempted to assess the physical requirements and costs, in terms of cabling and network electronics,
that would be needed in order to bring the institution to some form of currency with regard to this type of technology. Those costs are substantial and are beyond the current resources of Information Services and Technology.

SPPC has considered the report, and makes a number of observations, including the following:

- Information Services and Technology should continue the process of consulting with building users, which will lead to a more accurate assessment of needs and a possibility of establishing priorities and a time frame for development.
- A centrally-coordinated expression of budget responsibility for specific items and rooms should be established.
- The upgrading of classrooms should be centrally coordinated.
- Effort should be made to determine what equipment has been bought recently from research budgets and from operating budgets.
- If upgrading computer and network technology is determined to be a priority for the University, additional funds will have to be sought outside the normal funding envelope.

Senators made a number of comments and observations, including the following:

- the report contains no apparent rank ordering of priorities: how are individuals to identify urgencies and establish priorities?
- significant items of equal importance are not addressed in the report, such as computer labs, classrooms, etc.;
- the document under-estimates the technical support which will be required;
- the report will inform government that public institutions will have severe difficulties in meeting needs in the future without additional funding;
- hardware and software are of equal importance;
- desktop support for teaching, research, and administrative services is critical;
- the data available is incomplete, outdated, and may not be a fair model of the situation.

Mr. McAdam advised that the University continues to make the case to government about the need for additional funding in this area. The issue of technological support for classrooms and laboratories is on the institution's list of top 20 capital priorities, and each Dean and Director has been asked to identify the top three areas in his/her unit for re-furbishing. He invited individuals to visit the Information Services and Technology Web site, and to provide him with comments and suggestions.

Professor Taylor indicated that a core committee, consisting of representatives from Information Services and Technology, the libraries, and academic units, has been set up to consider the larger issues involved.

Professor Feld advised that the Senate Executive Committee endorses the report to Senate.

It was MOVED by Professor Halden THAT Senate approve the report of the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee with respect to campus-wide technology needs dated August 2, 2000.

Professor Duckworth spoke against the motion, as he believed that Senate is being asked to accept and endorse information which is not complete.

It was MOVED by Professor Duckworth that the recommendation be amended to read: "THAT Senate endorse the Report on Campus-Wide Technology Needs as the first step in the planning process and encourages the authors to extend their data collection before moving to the proposal and feasibility stage". [change shown in italics]

## CARRIED

2. Report of the Senate

Committee on Nominations Hand-out
This report consists of student nominations to standing committees of Senate.
It was MOVED by Dean Dronzek, on behalf of the Committee on Nominations, THAT Senate approve the report of the Senate Committee on Nominations dated October 3, 2000.

CARRIED
ADDITIONAL BUSINESS

1. United Way Presentation

Dr. Gardner invited Mr. Irv Gusdal, the Chair of the United Way Campaign at the Fort Garry Campus this fall, to make a presentation.

Mr. Gusdal introduced Ms. Margaret Clark, the Executive Director of Hospice and Palliative Care - Manitoba, to Senate.

Ms. Clark advised that hospice care should not be seen as depressing, but as an infinitely rewarding and uplifting experience. The demand for hospice services continues to grow, and is part of making Winnipeg a "caring community". She encouraged individuals to give generously to the United Way.

Mr. Gusdal invited everyone to attend the annual breakfast kick-off event on October $12^{\text {th }}$ in University Centre.

## ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 2:40 p.m.

These minutes, pp. 1 to 7, together with the material handed out at the door as well as the agenda, pp. 15 to 38, distributed earlier, comprise the minutes of the Senate meeting held on Wednesday, October 4, 2000.
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