Minutes of a meeting of Senate held on the above date at 1:30 p.m. in the Senate Chamber, Room 245 Engineering Building.

|  |  |  | Ms. M. McKay |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Those Present: | Ms. K.R. McLure | Prof. D. Chow | Mr. B. Metcalfe |
|  | Dean R. Magsino | Ms. H. de la Cruz | Mr. P. Osiegbu |
| Dr. J.S. Gardner, | Ms. Michaud-Oystryk | Mr. H. Eliasson | Prof. N. Pettigrew |
| Chair | Mr. B. Millar | Prof. M. Feld | Prof. R. Postuma |
| Prof. S. Abeysekera | Dr. D.R. Morphy | Mr. S.J. Fletcher | Prof. W. Rennie |
| Prof. I. Adamson | Dean R. O'Kell | Prof. R. Foster | Prof. E. Rosenbloom |
| Prof. L.M. Batten | Dean A. Percival | Dr. G. Glavin | Ms. D.A. Selymes |
| Prof. F. Berkes | Ms. D.N. Pinnock | Prof. G. Giesbrecht | Prof. K. Simons |
| Dean R.E. Berry | Ms. C. Presser | Prof. S. Higgins | Prof. D. Smyth |
| Prof. R. Bhullar | Mr. J. Prychitko | Prof. D. Hlynka | Prof. D. Strong |
| Prof. R. Bose | Prof. G.N. Ramu | Prof. E. Judd | Prof. G.C. Tabisz |
| Dean E.A. Braid | Prof. R.T. Ross | Ms. L. Lepp | Mr. J.D. Trenaman |
| Prof. R. Bruno-Jofre | Ms. C. Schachter | Prof. J. Long | Mr. J. Tyson |
| Prof. R. Burleson | Dean A. Secco | Prof. I. Macdonald | Mr. S. Wilson |
| Prof. E. Comack | Dean D. Shields | Dr. A.V. Mauro | Ms.C. Wood |
| Prof. J. Cooper | Dr. I.C.P.Smith | Mr. A. Puvirajah |  |
| Dean M. Cox | Mr. S. Stanley | Recteur P. Ruest |  |
| Dean R.F. Currie | Rector J. Stapleton | Prof. S. Simonovic |  |
| Ms. M.P. Davidson | Prof. M. Stern | Ms. L. Skromeda |  |
| Dean F. de Toro | Prof. B. Stimpson | Dr. E.J.E. Szathmáry |  |
| Dean J. de Vries | Ms. K.A. Stroh | Ms. C. Weselake |  |
| Ms. B.A. Dobran | Prof. K. Vessey | Prof. J. Whiteley |  |
| Dean B.L. Dronzek | Dr. G. Walz | Dean G. Zhanel |  |
| Prof. H.W. Duckworth | Prof. R. Wedgewood |  |  |
| Prof. M.L. Duckworth | Prof. K. Wrogemann | Absent: |  |
| Mr. J. Edwards | Ms. B. Sawicki, |  |  |
| Dean J.I. Elliot | University Secretary | Ms. L. Archer |  |
| Prof. M. Gabbert | Ms. S. Plett, | Prof. T. Booth |  |
| Dean J. Gray | Recording Secretary | Prof. R. Boyar |  |
| Dean D. Gregory |  |  | Prof. J. Brewster |

## 1. Report of the Senate

 Committee on Awards Page 17MOVED by Professor Stern, on behalf of the Executive Committee, that the report be approved by Senate.

With regard to the Dale Iwanoczko Memorial Volleyball Alumni Scholarship (page 19), Professor Ross wondered whether it was only for male volleyball players.

Mr. Dueck answered that that was the case, and he explained that, for all the athletic awards, teams traditionally raised monies for their own teams.

Professor Stern's motion was CARRIED.
2. Report of the Senate

Committee on Medical Qualifications: Dr. Kenneth Magnus Page 32
a) Comments of the Executive Committee Page 33

MOVED by Professor Stern, on behalf of the Executive Committee, that the report be approved by Senate.

CARRIED

## 3. Report of the Senate <br> Committee on Medical Qualifications: <br> Dr. Roy Rhodes

Page 34
a) Comments of the Executive Committee Page 35

MOVED by Professor Stern, on behalf of the Executive Committee, that the report be approved by Senate.

Mr. Edwards recalled that a question had been raised at Senate some time ago as to whether these reports should be referred to a more appropriate body.

Dr. Gardner advised that the Medical Act stipulated Senate as the provincial examining body for physicians and surgeons, and that the Senate Committee on Medical Qualifications considered the substance of these matters very carefully.

Dean Braid understood that, but he suggested that perhaps Section 64 of the Act should be changed to remove Senate and place this authority where it belonged. Perhaps an approach should be made to the College of Physicians and Surgeons that the Executive Committee of the Faculty of Medicine be given this authority.

Dr. Gardner indicated that this suggestion would be taken under advisement.

Professor Bristow agreed that Senators' points were well-taken and that the mechanism of exploring options should be followed up.

Professor Stern's motion was CARRIED.

## III MATTERS FORWARDED FOR INFORMATION

1. Actions of the Board of Governors of Interest to Senate

Page 36
2. Correspondence from the

Faculty of Engineering
re Proposed Calendar Inclusion Page 37
Dr. Gardner noted that the Dean of Engineering had been informed that if the Faculty wished to record this minor on students' transcripts, a separate proposal should be forwarded to the Senate Committee on Curriculum and Course Changes for approval.
3. Statement of Intent:
B.A. (General) and B.A. (Advanced) in Global Political Economy

Page 38
Mr. Edwards inquired whether the proposal would require the hiring of additional faculty members or whether qualified staff were already available to teach these courses.

Professor Kueneman explained that the proposal was a re-packaging of existing courses in History, Sociology, Anthropology, Economics and Political Studies.

Professor Vessey wondered how decisions were made with regard to the priority of proposals.

Dr. Gardner replied that his office relied heavily on the Faculty making the proposal and the priority given to it by the Dean involved. In this instance, the proposal would not require major new resources, as it was a re-packaging of existing courses which would likely be of interest to students.

Dean Braid asked about the difference between advanced and honours degrees.
Dean Currie explained that an honours degree was normally taken by students who were planning to go on to graduate school, whereas an advanced degree was a "terminal" degree academically. The advanced degree requirements were not as rigorous as those of the honours degree.

## IV REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT

Dr. Keselman drew attention to the good news about support for research which was contained in the recent federal budget. The proposed Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) will receive approximately $\$ 225-240$ million by the year 2001/2002, and will see the MRC and other associations transformed into a new institute which will fund approximately 10-15 health researchers across the country. In addition, the three granting councils will see a substantial increase in their funding over the next three years, some of it directed towards health-related research and part of it directed in a non-specific way. The Networks of Centres of Excellence will be receiving an additional $\$ 30$ million

## Page -3-

in each of the next 3 years, and the Canada Foundation for Innovation will also receive an additional $\$ 200$ million. Work at the University of Manitoba had been mentioned a number of times in the Finance Minister's spoken and written presentation of the budget. He had made reference to groundbreaking research at the University in the area of renal transplant research, and to the establishment of the Manitoba First Nations Health Research Institute. He had also referred specifically to one of our Senators, Dr. Pat Kaufert, for her work on women's health.

Dean Jamieson asked about the split of research money between NSERC and MRC.
Dr. Keselman responded that it was a complicated issue, as part of the money was being targeted to health-related research and part was non-targeted. For example, SSHRC will receive $\$ 7.5$ million in each of the next 3 years for the CIHR initiative, plus an additional $\$ 5$ million in each of the next 3 years to SSHRC proper. NSERC will receive $\$ 7.5$ million for 3 years for health-related research, plus $\$ 25$ million in each of the next 3 years for NSERC itself.

Dr. Gardner reported that undergraduate enrolment levels at 1 February were slightly above the same time last year, whereas graduate enrolment levels had declined by approximately $4 \%$.

Dr. Gardner advised that the upcoming Pan Am Games would mean some significant logistical disruptions for faculty, staff and students at the University during July and August. Some of these disruptions would include the security fence, traffic, parking and the screening of vehicles arriving on the campus. Dr. Gardner encouraged individuals to direct specific questions to the liaison personnel who were to be identified by each unit.

One of the recommendations of the Task Force on Strategic Planning was to explore the possibility of a capital campaign. During the last six months, the various units had been asked to submit projects which could be considered for inclusion in the capital campaign. That phase was now complete, with the total proposals reaching hundreds of millions of dollars, for projects ranging from major new buildings down to the creation of relatively small endowments to support specialized speakers programs. There would have to be some process of weeding out, the first round of which would be done by the President's office. The next step would be a feasibility study, to be commissioned by the University, which would be the deciding factor on whether a capital campaign would move forward, and Dr . Gardner believed the results of that study would be available in the fall of 1999.

Representatives of the President's office had met with deans, directors and administrative unit heads to review budget priorities, and that information was now being compiled. The Budget Advisory Committee had held its first general meeting about ten days ago, and it would be meeting again soon. The University did not yet know what it would receive in the annual government grant, although the public school system had received an increase of $2 \%$. The other important matter was the setting of tuition fees, which would be approved by the Board of Governors during the next few months.

Dr. Gardner was quite heartened by the strength of the applications which had been received in most of the Dean selection processes, and he expected a number of interviews to be conducted over the course of the next $6-8$ weeks.

## V QUESTION PERIOD

No questions had been submitted in written form, nor were any asked from the floor of Senate.

MOVED by Dean Secco, seconded by Dean Elliot, that the minutes be approved as circulated.
CARRIED

REPORTS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF SENATE AND THE SENATE PLANNING AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE

## 1. Report of the Executive

 Committee of Senate1. Resident Student Disciplinary Fines Page 42

Page 44

## 2. Report of the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee

Professor Cooper reported that SPPC was considering the accreditation of professional programs and the kinds of resource requirements for that, as well as the costs inherent in upgrading, maintenance and repair of equipment used in research. The Committee had participated in the first meeting of the Budget Advisory Committee, and it was considering components of the draft operating budget as they became available. Two proposed building projects were under consideration, as was a proposed merger of the Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology with the Department of Human Genetics.

## IX REPORTS OF OTHER COMMITTEES OF SENATE,

 FACULTY AND SCHOOL COUNCILS
## 1. Report of the Senate Committee on Rules and Procedures

MOVED by Professor Stern, on behalf of the Executive Committee, that the report be approved by Senate.

CARRIED
2. Report of the University

Research Committee of Senate re a Name Change for the Manitoba Research Centre on Family Violence and Violence Against Women Page 46

MOVED by Professor Stern, on behalf of the Executive Committee, that the report be approved by Senate.

CARRIED

## 3. Report of the University

Research Committee of Senate on the Review of the Institute for the Humanities

MOVED by Professor Stern, on behalf of the Executive Committee, that the report be approved by Senate.

## CARRIED

4. Report of the Faculty Council of Nursing re
Modification of the Academic Schedule .. Page 49
Dr. Gardner advised that this item was being withdrawn from consideration at this time. The Faculty of Nursing would forward further modifications to the Executive Committee for consideration at its next meeting.

## X ADDITIONAL BUSINESS

Dr. Gardner noted that, at its last meeting, the Executive Committee had considered the report of the Faculty Council of Human Ecology, and directed the Faculty to hold formal consultations with the Faculty of Agricultural and Food Sciences and the Faculty of Social Work with regard to the Human Ecology Faculty Council report arising from recommendations 50 and 51 from Building on Strengths.

Mr. Kozier noted that the first annual report of the UMSU Scholarship \& Bursary \& Endowment Funds had been circulated with the Senate agenda, and that it was UMSU's intention to produce such a report every year from now on. Mr. Kozier added that this was the last Senate meeting for student Senators, whose term was expiring on 31 March. Dr. Gardner expressed Senate's thanks to the outgoing student Senators.

Mr. Prychitko asked how the brochure had been paid for, and Mr. Kozier advised that the first annual scholarship dinner had provided enough funds to cover the costs of printing the report.

Professor S. Macdonald expressed congratulations to UMSU on their bursary fund initiative. This was met with a round of applause.

Dr. Gardner announced that the recent Duckworth Challenge had been won by the University of Manitoba. This was met with a round of applause.

## XI ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 2:25 p.m.
These minutes, pp. 1 to 6, together with the agenda, pp. 15 to 50 , distributed earlier, comprise the minutes of the meeting of Senate of 3 March 1999.
/sgp

