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Part I
Reason for Procedure

1.1 To define the extent to which the University provides a process for students to appeal decisions relating to accommodation of a disability which adversely affect them.

Part II
Procedural Content

Initial Reconsideration

2.1 Students who believe that they have not been treated fairly in accordance with this Policy, or who believe they were not reasonably accommodated by the proposed Accommodation, or who believe that the proposed Accommodation may have been different in light of new or previously unavailable information or documentation may first discuss this matter with the Coordinator of SAS and request reconsideration. In seeking to resolve any disagreement, the Faculty/School Accessibility Advisory Committee may be consulted by a party.

2.2 Academic Staff Members who believe that a proposed accommodation would compromise the defined Bona Fide Academic Requirements or Essential Skills Document in question may request
that the Coordinator of SAS reconsider the proposed accommodation. In seeking to resolve any
disagreement, the Faculty/School Accommodation Team and/or Accessibility Advisory
Committee may be consulted. An Academic Staff Member making the request for
reconsideration must make it in writing and be able to demonstrate a substantial, viable and direct
connection to the student and the proposed accommodation. In such cases, the student shall be
made aware of the request for reconsideration and have the opportunity to provide their position
to the Coordinator of SAS before a final determination is made.

2.3 Following a request for reconsideration from a student, an Academic Staff Member or both, the
Coordinator of Student Accessibility Services shall issue a decision in writing to the student, the
Academic Staff Member and the Faculty/School, as appropriate, normally within five business
days of receipt of the request for reconsideration.

Formal Appeal

2.4 If a student or an Academic Staff Member does not agree with the decision of the Coordinator of
SAS regarding a request for reconsideration, they may file a formal appeal to the Senate
Committee on Accommodation Appeals.

2.5 Students may appeal a decision when:

Failure of Judgment

(a) they believe the decision made by the Coordinator of SAS was incorrect in his/her
judgment regarding the need for, application of, or implementation of an
Accommodation.

Failure of Process

(b) they believe the decision made by the Coordinator of SAS was incorrect due to a failure
on his/her part or the part of SAS to dutifully perform the process of determining
eligibility, or determining a reasonable Accommodation.

Failure of Information

(c) they believe the determination made by the Coordinator of SAS was incorrect due to a
lack of information, or documentation. Generally speaking, a failure of information is
informally handled by the student providing updated or requested documentation.

2.6 Faculty Members may appeal a decision when:

Compromising Academic Standards

(a) they believe that the decision made by the Coordinator of SAS would undermine the
Bona Fide Academic Requirements or Essential Skills Document. The onus is on the
Faculty Member to establish how the standards would be compromised by providing the
accommodation. If a Bona Fide Academic Requirements or an Essential Skills
Document has not been developed, no appeals shall be available to Faculty Members.
2.8 Academic Staff Members filing appeals under this policy must demonstrate a substantial, viable and direct connection to the student and the proposed accommodation.

2.9 The composition of the Senate Committee on Accommodation Appeals shall include:
   (a) Five members of the academic staff appointed by Senate;
   (b) Two students appointed by Senate;
   (c) A Chair appointed by the President.

2.10 Upon receipt of an Appeal, the Senate Committee on Accommodation Appeals will notify the Dean or delegate of the Faculty/ School, SAS and the respondent of a hearing date.

2.11 Appeals will be heard by a panel of at least four members: two academic staff members, a student and the Chair.

2.12 The Committee panel will meet to determine whether there are grounds to hear the appeal and whether the appeal falls within the jurisdiction of the Committee.

2.13 The Chair of the panel shall only vote in case of a tie.

2.14 If the panel determines that it will hear the appeal, they shall convene a hearing with the appellant, SAS or others as soon as possible to consider submissions from all the parties and Dean, if applicable. If the appellant is an Academic Staff Member, the student shall also be invited to attend the hearing.

2.15 The Committee panel may establish rules and procedures for its hearings and meetings. Such procedures must ensure fairness for all parties and facilitate a timely resolution of appeals.

2.16 The Committee panel will determine the appropriate accommodation on the basis of the student’s disability and the Bona Fide Academic Requirements or Essential Skills Document of the course or program.

2.17 The Committee panel will provide written reasons for its decision, which shall be final and binding on all parties.

2.18 All matters considered by the Committee shall be strictly confidential.

2.19 In the consideration of appeals by the Committee panel, time shall be of the essence. In most cases, the Committee shall make a decision within ten business days of the filing of an appeal.

Part III
Accountability

3.1 The Office of Legal Counsel is responsible for advising the University Secretary that a formal review of this Procedure is required.

3.2 The University Secretary is responsible for the implementation, administration and review of this Policy. is responsible for the implementation, administration and review of this Procedure.

3.3 All Students and Employees are responsible for complying with this Policy.
Part IV
Review

4.1 Governing Document reviews shall be conducted every ten (10) years. The next scheduled review date for this Procedure is 38T.

4.2 In the interim, these Procedures may be revised or rescinded if:
   (a) the Vice-President (Academic) and Provost deems it necessary; or
   (b) the Procedure is no longer legislatively or statutorily compliant;
   (c) the Parent Policy is revised or repealed.

Part V
Effect on Previous Statements

5.1 This Procedure supersedes all of the following:
   (a) all previous Board of Governors/Senate Governing Documents on the subject matter contained herein; and
   (b) all previous Administration Governing Documents on the subject matter contained herein.

Part VI
Cross References

6.1 This Procedure should be cross referenced to the following relevant Governing Documents, legislation and/or forms:
   (a) Policy: The University of Manitoba Accessibility Policy
   (b) Procedure: The Student Accessibility Appeal Procedure