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The Chair informed Senate that the speaker of the Senate Executive Committee was Professor Joanna Asadoorian, School of Dental Hygiene.

Senate consented to the Chair’s recommendation that Item I (1) Report of the Senate Committee on Honorary Degrees be considered in closed session at end of agenda.

II MATTERS RECOMMENDED FOR CONCURRENCE WITHOUT DEBATE

1. Report of the Executive Committee of the Faculty of Graduate Studies on Course and Curriculum Changes [June 15, 2012] Page 3


Professor Asadoorian MOVED, on behalf of the Senate Executive Committee, THAT Senate approve the Report of the Executive Committee of the Faculty of Graduate Studies on Course and Curriculum Changes [dated June 15, 2012] and the Report of Faculty Council of Graduate Studies on Program and Curriculum Changes [dated June 26, 2012].

CARRIED

III MATTERS FORWARDED FOR INFORMATION


Noting that reports provided for Items II (1) and III (1) indicate that the meetings that led to the reports were conducted via email, Professor Morrill asked if there is a policy concerning when it is appropriate for Senate committee business to be carried out electronically and under what circumstances it might be conducted by email versus a teleconference. Mr. Leclerc replied that there is no policy at the present time. Electronic meetings are held at the discretion of the chair, typically when the matter to be considered is a routine item of business. If a number of concerns are raised during the course of such a meeting, a chair subsequently might elect to schedule a meeting or teleconference to deal with the matter. Professor Morrill suggested that such a policy might be useful for Senate committees and other bodies. It might comment, in particular, on meetings that take place during the summer months. Mr. Leclerc indicated that he would give some consideration to the suggestion.


3. Statement of Intent RE: Bachelor of Arts (Honours) in Anthropology Page 15
4. Correspondence from the Vice-President (Academic) and Provost RE: One-time Enrolment Increases in 2012/2013 for the Doctor of Dental Medicine and the Bachelor of Commerce (Honours) Programs

5. Implementation of Actuarial Stream within the Master of Science in Management Degree

6. Items Approved by the Board of Governors, on June 26, 2012

7. Senate Orientation – Invitation

IV REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT

Dr. Barnard reminded members that, at the meeting of April 4, 2012, Professor Gabbert had requested clarification on what exactly he had ruled out of order with respect to questions raised concerning the Duff Roblin Professorship. He recalled that the issue involved personal aspects of an individual’s appointment as well as process and that, subsequent to the ruling, a question had been raised concerning gift agreements. Dr. Barnard clarified that he had ruled out of order what he understood to be personal issues dealing with a specific individual’s appointment. He acknowledged that questions concerning process are within the jurisdiction of Senate. He explained that his position at the April meeting was that questions raised concerning process had been dealt with at previous meetings. On the matter of gift agreements, Dr. Barnard observed that some universities have elected to make these openly available. He indicated that, on the following day, representatives of a number of universities, including the University of Manitoba, would meet in Waterloo, Ontario, to discuss common and best practices in this area. He proposed, and Professor Gabbert consented, that he would answer this question at a future meeting.

Referring to an update on the Elizabeth Dafoe Library Learning Commons in the President’s Report, Professor Young asked about the timeframe for completion of the project. Ms. Adams replied that the contractor has indicated that the work is expected to be completed by the end of October.

Professor Gabbert remarked on the absence of any comment in the Report of the President on an announcement by Thomson Reuters that the University of Manitoba and other members of the U15 Group are now subscribing to Thompson Reuters InCites. He read aloud from the first and fifth paragraphs of the press release:

Philadelphia, PA, August 30, 2012 – The Intellectual Property & Science business of Thomson Reuters, the world’s leading source of intelligent information for businesses and professionals, today announced it joined forces with several North American universities to demonstrate the efficiency and impact of their research. The reliable and trusted indicators from Thomson Reuters InCites™, a customized, web-based research evaluation tool, will allow them to measure their research output and impact, monitor trends, and benchmark their performance against peers at the individual, departmental and global levels.
InCites is a customized, web-based evaluation tool that enables universities to analyze their research productivity and compare their output with that of their peers. The solution provides normalized metrics for repeatable analysis of outcomes, cross-regional impacts, discipline comparisons and standardized, accurate reviews for promotion and tenure processes. InCites can also serve as a support solution in ongoing quality assurance activities.\(^1\)

Professor Gabbert asked how the University expects to use InCites and, in particular, whether it would be used in the assessment of individual faculty members in tenure and promotion processes. Dr. Barnard indicated that he would want to consult with Institutional Analysis before giving a definitive response. He indicated that he is not aware of any intention to use information gathered in InCites for anything other than at an aggregate institutional level to assess the University’s performance in a number of different areas and to allow comparison with other institutions. There is no intention at this point to use InCites in tenure and promotion processes.

V  QUESTION PERIOD

Senators are reminded that questions shall normally be submitted in writing to the University Secretary no later than 10:00 a.m. of the day preceding the meeting. No questions were submitted.

VI  CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES
OF THE MEETING OF JUNE 20, 2012

Professor Wrogemann MOVED, seconded by Dean Sevenhuysen, THAT the minutes of the Senate meeting held on June 20, 2012 be approved as circulated.

CARRIED

VII  BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES - none

VIII  REPORTS OF THE SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND THE SENATE PLANNING AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE

1.  **Report of the Senate Executive Committee**  

   Comments of the Senate Executive Committee will accompany the report on which they are made.

2.  **Report of the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee**

   a)  The Chair will make an oral report of the Committee’s activities.

   Professor Gabbert said that the committee had not met since the last Senate meeting. It will meet next on September 24\(^{th}\) to consider a proposal for a Bachelor of Arts (Honours) program in Criminology.

\(^1\) [http://thomsonreuters.com/content/press_room/science/712679](http://thomsonreuters.com/content/press_room/science/712679)
b) Report of the Senate Planning and Priorities Committee
RE: Guidelines for Assigning Priority to New Programs / Initiatives (for information)

Professor Gabbert referred members to the Guidelines for Assigning Priority to New Programs / Initiatives, which had been provided to Senate for information. He reminded members that the SPPC has a mandate to prioritize program and other proposals. In the past, the Committee has not been systematic about carrying out this responsibility. For this reason, the Committee has created a set of guidelines to be applied when considering a proposal and assessing its priority. Professor Gabbert noted that the SPPC will not rank a proposal in relation to others it receives. The Committee’s role is to recommend to administration based on its assessment of resource implications and programs in view of the priorities set out in the Guidelines. Professor Gabbert invited members to comment if they thought there are other criteria that might be considered when assigning priority to proposals.

Professor Owens remarked that observations (2) and (4) in the Committee’s report suggest a potential for disagreement. The first indicates that each proposal will be considered on its own merits but the second suggests that some sort of ranking occurs before proposals are forwarded to COPSE. Given the possibility that the SPPC might prioritize a number of proposals as ‘high,’ Professor Owens asked if all of those program proposals would be forwarded to COPSE or if ranking occurs in another context and, if so, how that ranking process relates to the established Guidelines. Dr. Keselman indicated that program proposals are ranked by the Vice-Provost (Academic Planning and Programs), in consultation with the President, using criteria that are very similar to those set out in the Guidelines established by the SPPC for its use and considering the advice of the SPPC.

Mr. Leclerc observed that the SPPC has asked the Vice-Provost (Academic Planning and Programs) to share the Guidelines with COPSE so the Council is aware of the criteria that the University has applied in assigning priority to a program proposal.

IX REPORTS OF OTHER COMMITTEES OF SENATE, FACULTY AND SCHOOL COUNCILS

1. I.H. Asper School of Business: Proposal for a Professorship in Agricultural Risk Management and Insurance

Dr. Jayas MOVED, seconded by Dean Benarroch THAT Senate approve and recommend to the Board of Governors a proposal for a Professorship in Agricultural Risk Management and Insurance.

Dean Benarroch pointed out that the proposal for the Professorship in Agricultural Risk Management and Insurance replaces the proposal for a Chair in the same area, which had been approved by Senate at its meeting on June 20, 2012. He noted that the current proposal differs from the original in that the faculty appointment will be for a limited five-year term rather than a tenure-track
appointment. He indicated that the agreement with the donor to establish the Professorship has been signed.

CARRIED

2. Report of the Senate Committee on Admissions RE: Revised Admission Requirements for the Distance Delivery Program, Faculty of Social Work

Ms. Gottheil reported that the Faculty of Social Work is proposing changes to the admission requirements for the Distance Delivery program. She noted that the program is not an access program. She explained that there are significant differences between the existing admission requirements for this program and other Social Work programs delivered on the Fort Garry campus. In particular, admission to the Distance Delivery program has been based upon random selection, for a limited number of spaces, from those applicants who met the minimum admission requirements. The revised admission requirements are consistent with those for other Social Work programs offered on the Fort Garry campus.

Ms. Gottheil MOVED, on behalf of the committee, THAT Senate approve the Report of the Senate Committee on Admissions regarding revised admission requirements for the Distance Delivery Program, Faculty of Social Work, effective September 1, 2013.

CARRIED


Dr. Jayas informed Senate that the Institute for Industrial Mathematical Sciences has been inactive for a couple of years. Given this and following an evaluation of the long-term objectives for the institute in the context of changes taking place in the Department of Mathematics, a decision has been taken to close the Institute at the point in time. It is expected that, at some point in the future, the Department will bring forward a proposal for a new institute.

Dr. Jayas MOVED, on behalf of the committee, THAT Senate approve the Report of the Senate Committee on University Research on the Periodic Review of the Institute for Industrial Mathematical Sciences regarding a recommendation that the Institute cease operations effective immediately.

CARRIED

4. Report of the Senate Committee on Nominations

Professor Edwards said that a number of nominations have been made to fill vacancies that remained on a number of Senate committees. There were no further nominations at the meeting.
Professor Edwards MOVED, on behalf of the committee, THAT Senate approve the Report of the Senate Committee on Nominations [dated August 14, 2012].

CARRIED

I MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED IN CLOSED SESSION

1. Report of the Senate Committee on Honorary Degrees

In keeping with past practice, the minutes of this agenda item are not included in the circulated minutes but appear in the original minutes which are available for inspection by members of Senate.

X ADDITIONAL BUSINESS - none

XI ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 2:00 p.m.

These minutes, pages 1 to 7, combined with the agenda, pages 1 to 57, comprise the minutes of the meeting of Senate held on September 5, 2012.