



Theory's Theatricality and Architectural Agency

Lisa Landrum

To cite this article: Lisa Landrum (2016) Theory's Theatricality and Architectural Agency, *Architecture and Culture*, 4:3, 463-475, DOI: [10.1080/20507828.2016.1239994](https://doi.org/10.1080/20507828.2016.1239994)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/20507828.2016.1239994>



Published online: 11 Nov 2016.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

ARCHITECTURE AND CULTURE

Lisa Landrum

Faculty of Architecture,
University of Manitoba,
Winnipeg, MB, Canada
lisa.landrum@umanitoba.ca

Keywords: architectural
history and theory, *theōria*,
theater, performance,
democracy, hermeneutics,
Aristophanes, Plato

Volume 4/Issue 3
November 2016
pp 463–475
DOI: 10.1080/20507828.2016.
1239994

No potential conflict of
interest was reported by the
author.

Reprints available directly
from the publishers.

Photocopying permitted
by licence only.

© 2016 Informa UK Limited,
trading as Taylor & Francis
Group

Theory's Theatricality and Architectural Agency

Lisa Landrum

ABSTRACT This paper argues for a pre-theoretical and pro-theatrical understanding of theory. To begin, it considers the Greek tradition of *theōria* as practiced around the fifth century BCE in the period just before Plato appropriated the cultural practice of *theōria* as a model for philosophical inquiry. As will be shown, this proto-philosophical practice of *theōria* was profoundly theatrical, which is to say, spectacular and dramatic in social, situational, and symbolic ways. Such events of *theōria* involved diverse citizens participating as active witnesses in recurring festivals that had both intimate and far-reaching political, religious, and aesthetic significance. Reflecting on some present-day settings and occasions for practicing theory, this paper concludes with a disciplinary provocation: the re-engagement of *theōria*'s fundamental theatricality can reanimate the social, situational, and symbolic dimensions of architectural theory, without sacrificing either its relative independence or its capacity for heuristic wonder.

Before Theory

Before theory was a thing, it was a practice. In ancient Greece *theōria* was a spatiotemporal practice thoroughly intertwined with socio-political experiences and endeavors. The cultural practice of *theōria* involved traveling to a foreign place, primarily for the sake of witnessing a spectacular festival and/or consulting an oracle, then returning home to share with others an account of events seen and understandings gained. All three stages of *theōria* – traveling, spectating, and returning with a report – were vitally important. Individuals would temporarily leave behind the familiarity of their local conditions, assumptions, and problems to immerse themselves in intensely social, synesthetic, and metaphysical encounters at a Panhellenic festival. They would then return home with the obligatory challenge of communicating the truth of what was witnessed to those who stayed behind.¹

Being the most culturally intense and personally transformative, the middle event – spectating at a festival – was crucial for the full enactment of *theōria*. Spectating at ancient Greek festivals involved the reciprocity of seeing and being seen at a major event (comparable with a present-day Rio Carnival or Venice Biennale); festivals included competitive displays of dramatic, athletic, and musical contests; various rites of elaborate processions, gift offerings, speeches, sacrifices, feasts, songs, dances, and revelry. According to Cicero, the keen viewing of such spectacles exemplified a kind of philosophical activity.² For Plato, as Andrea Nightingale has shown, the threefold practice of *theōria* provided a paradigm for the philosopher's journey toward apprehending truths and relaying these to others.³ Although viewing spectacular events was central to *theōria*, doing so in the multisensory conditions of such festivals amid throngs of participant-observers was never exclusively a visual experience; neither was it a disengaged solitary sport. Rather, as philosopher Hans-Georg Gadamer asserts in his *Praise of Theory*, to perform *theōria* in socially entangled and culturally charged circumstances demanded being “completely present” and “engrossed.”⁴ Such a vigorously embodied and potentially moving mode of spectating, as Gadamer elaborates elsewhere, required “a true participation [...] being totally involved in and carried away by what one sees.”⁵

Theoric Settings

In traditional practices of *theōria*, all festival activities would take place in a sacred sanctuary, a delineated place for collective participation. This setting was not only topographically meaningful, being part of a politically and mythically charged landscape, or *chōra*,⁶ but also architecturally impressive, being replete with ornate temples, treasuries, statues, porticos, dining rooms, a stadium, and a theater. The sanctuary of Apollo at Delphi is among the most well-known and best-preserved examples. Such elaborate settings not only situated and choreographed practices of *theōria*, but also presented themselves as primary phenomena to be viewed and interpreted during festive occasions.

Evidence of this is provided in Athenian drama. For instance, in Euripides' *Ion* (c.418–412 BCE), a tragedy staged in the Theater of Dionysus at Athens, a chorus of sightseers standing before the Temple of Apollo at Delphi implore one another to “see” and “look here” at the fair columns, sculptural reliefs, and mythic narratives carved into the stonework of the temple’s “twin” facades (lines 184–218). In this way, these representative performers of *theōria* would effectively lead spectators gathered in the Dionysian sanctuary (in Athens) to imagine and contemplate cultural meanings implicit in the remote but related Apollonian site (in Delphi). Similarly, in Euripides' *Andromache* (c.425 BCE), the ill-fated son of Achilles is said to have spent three days beholding the splendors of Delphi before consulting the oracle: “giving over three bright cycles of the sun to seeing the sights, we feasted our eyes” (lines 1086–7).⁷ Allusions to now lost plays, together with archaeological remains, further suggest that pilgrims visited sanctuaries to inspect architectural and sculptural works as much as to witness religious rites and festivities.⁸ The spectacle of sacred architecture, with its sculptural manifestations of myths and gods, augmented the spectacle of sacred events. These settings were not neutral receptacles; rather, they actively provoked and amplified experiences of *theōria*.

Sanctuary sites for enacting *theōria* were spread across the Panhellenic region, forming a vast network. The coherence of these dispersed theoric settings was reinforced by a shared calendar of seasonal festivals, structuring each site's periodic activation. Except in the case of Athens, festival sanctuaries were usually located outside urban centers, such that individuals from each participating *polis* would assemble in a relatively neutral, marginal, or intermediary domain, overseen more by shared gods than by a single political entity. As Nightingale has emphasized, “In this unique ‘space,’ the *theōroi* [those performing *theōria*] participated in a religious event that transcended – and, to some extent, challenged – the social, political and ideological structures of any individual city.”⁹ Thus, as much as *theōria* was intrinsic to a religious institution, it was also constitutive of regional democracy.¹⁰ By participating in *theōria*, citizens from dispersed city-states (often divided by rivalry and conflict) united to enact and interpret the common bases of their alliance, rehearsing shared stories, principles, and practices, thereby cultivating mutual understanding, regional diplomacy, and potentially widespread peace.

Seeing (and Saying) What Is

In ancient Greece, individuals might perform *theōria* for their own personal enrichment and edification. For instance, Solon, the sixth-century BCE Athenian lawmaker and founder of democracy, was said to have traveled the world “for the sake of *theōria*,” wandering and wondering for ten years in search of wisdom.¹¹ However, individuals were more frequently appointed to perform *theōria* as official representatives of their home city, being sent to a foreign festival or an oracular site

as part of a delegation. These envoys, acting as roving eyes, ears, mouths, and conscience of the city, were obliged to bring back from afar discerned insights that might benefit the *polis*. As Plato explained, “no government can maintain and improve itself without sending *theoroi* to foreign cities” (*Laws* 951a–c).¹² Each delegate on the journey was called a *theōros* (plural *theōroi*); the leader of the delegation was an *architheōros*.¹³ Each *theōros* was typically selected from a noble sector of society, but the most important qualification was neither status nor wealth, but honesty. According to the sixth-century BCE poet Theognis, “a *theōros* must be more straight [...] than a carpenter’s compass, rule and square,” for when reporting back to one’s city a *theōros* must not add anything nor take anything away from what had been disclosed, or else any potential benefit of the exchange would be lost.¹⁴ In other words, with even more careful attention than a skilled artisan, a *theōros* was obliged to relay precisely that which is true and just, without unduly bending what was witnessed to arbitrary angles or personal whims. Performing *theōria* was a profoundly constructive act with serious social consequences.

Twentieth-century architect and author Le Corbusier echoed the obligations of an ancient *theōros* when he offered this advice to students: “One must always say what one sees, above all one must always, and this is more difficult, see what one sees.”¹⁵ Only by acknowledging the existential and phenomenal difficulty of motivated yet unbiased seeing and saying can we begin a hermeneutic encounter with *theōria*. In this radically hermeneutic sense, practicing theory requires a willingness to perceive critically one’s own fallible preconceptions and limits of knowledge, balanced by a potentially transformative receptivity to what is strange in the familiar and familiar in the strange. This approaches what Gadamer has called, “the root of what we can call theory: seeing what is.”¹⁶ Far from passively observing “what is merely present-at-hand,” this entails actively interpreting and understanding the full “complicated context” – the suppositions, questions, and expectations giving rise to events. This challenging and ambiguous responsibility for “seeing what is” is not only integral to the traditional practices of *theōria*, but also remains implicit in the word “theory.” To recognize this, we must make an etymological detour.

Sights, Insights, Foresights

Theory stems from *theōros* (*θεωρός*), a compound word joining *orós* (*ορός*) “one who sees” (from the verb *óráō*, “to see”) with either *thea* (*θεά*) “a sight/spectacle,” or *theós* (*θεός*) “god.” Scholars are unable to determine a single correct root. Most now regard the etymological link to *theós* as erroneous, even though this divine root was claimed by Greek philosophers,¹⁷ purported by Roman lexicographers,¹⁸ and promoted by modern interpreters, including Martin Heidegger.¹⁹ Eschewing the divine, one may translate *theōros* literally as “sight-seer,” and *theōria* as a “sight-seeing [event].” While these familiar expressions convey the exotic travels associated with *theōria*, they obscure the sacred dimensions of

theoric journeys and trivialize its socio-political and heuristic aims. Thus, as others have urged, we should accept (as the ancients did) a combined sense of spectacular and sacred vision.²⁰ This casts *theōrós* as a seer of sights and insights, discerning truths beyond ordinary comprehension, and *theōria* as a profoundly symbolic and potentially transformative encounter. Such encounters are also fundamentally theatrical and wondrous, since *thea* is the shared root of the Greek terms for “theater” (*theatron*), “spectators” (*theatai*), “spectacle” (*theamata*), and the act of “beholding” (*theaomai*) sources of wonder.

This theoric manner of seeing also entails vigilance, a detail rarely acknowledged in etymologies of “theory.” The *orós* of *theōrós* implies keeping careful and earnest watch. This mode of vigilant seeing is active in a series of compound terms: a “seer” of doors (*thur-ōros*), gates (*skeu-ōros*), and coastlines (*akt-ōros*).²¹ These official door-watchers, gatekeepers, and coastguards preserve social institutions (house, precinct, and *polis*) by discerning threats at thresholds, limits, and horizons. This theoric agency of vigilant vision is both enacted and cultivated by Athenian drama. As Froma Zeitlin explains in her essay “The Artful Eye,” the mode of viewing activated in Greek theater “not only arouses spectators’ affective responses but also engages their cognitive skills in learning how to recognize, evaluate, and interpret the visual codes of what they see.”²² There are numerous instances of an actor appealing to a character, god, and even spectators directly, urging them to “look upon these things,” to witness a tragic sight or unjust deed, so as fully to see and comprehend what is at stake in the situation.²³ Such meta-theatrical and proto-Brechtian calls – to “look!” – jolt spectators out of complacency, compelling them to interpret and anticipate transformative actions. This vigorous manner of interpretive seeing enables poignant recognition of human limits, failings, and capabilities, mixing dread with the wondrous delight of cathartic understanding, catharsis being not only a therapeutic release, but also, as Leon Golden argues, a visceral climax of near-total comprehension following uncertainty: “that moment of insight which arises out of the audience’s climactic intellectual, emotional, and spiritual enlightenment.”²⁴

Theatrum Theoreticum

As suggested above, architectural settings actively contributed to the complex festive practice of *theōria* in ancient Greece. Ornate architecture constituted a meaningful aspect of what was seen and interpreted during festivals. The configuration of a sanctuary site, including the orientation of its temple, stadium, and theater, also situated the social experience of cultural comprehension in relation to broader geopolitical and cosmopoetic horizons. Architecture’s theoric agency is most prominent in the paradigmatic setting of the Greek theater, which was less a building than a circumscribed outdoor place for viewing. Arrayed on a hillside, the practitioners of *theōria* could view not only the activities framed within the open performance area, but also the

surrounding social body of fellow spectators, as well as the expansive political landscape and storied milieu. The scenic conventions and theatrical mechanisms, including a back wall equipped with central doors and stage machines, enabled dramatic disclosures: hidden interior tableaux, drawn out from behind the doors, and otherworldly entities, revealed from above or below.²⁵ As Zeitlin argues, the Greek theater, being organized spatially and conceptually around the dialectic of what can and cannot be seen, extended “the practical problems of vision and visibility [...] into an epistemological concern with insight, knowledge, revelation, and truth.”²⁶

In his essay “Theatrum Theoreticum,” philosopher Rodolphe Gasché retraces the longstanding theatricality of theory. He finds it especially in Plato’s *Theaetetus*, in Socrates’ tragicomic anecdote of the first philosopher Thales, who – with eyes fixed on the heavens, aloof from reality – is witnessed falling down a well. Gasché identifies theory’s theatricality with Plato’s dialogue form and use of public forums, as Socrates’ refutations were always enacted before an audience; with Western philosophy’s frequently reciprocal metaphors of light, visibility, and illumination (from Empedocles to Hans Blumenberg); and with pre-philosophical practices of *theōria*, which put divine matters on display and subjected mortals to divine gaze. To be recognized and understood, the activity of theory – seemingly invisible – must be somehow staged. Thus, Gasché concludes, “theoria clearly cannot be thought without the theatre.”²⁷ Gasché’s essay persuasively demonstrates theater’s constitutive role for philosophy. However, by limiting the problem to how theory’s invisibility is made visible, he obscures the force of theory’s social and situational agencies. These arguably more architectural agencies make what is at stake in *theōria* (its suppositions, questions, and expectations) not only visible, but also available for engagement, comprehension, contestation, and change.

As I have elaborated elsewhere, the bonds between architectural agency and theatrical *theōria* are made dramatically apparent in Aristophanes’ *Peace*, a comedy staged in the Theater of Dionysus in the midst of the Peloponnesian War (421 BCE).²⁸ In a climactic scene, the protagonist – a farmer, called upon “to architect” – leads a chorus of laborers to unearth Peace (in the form of a statue) together with two lively attendants, Harvest and Theōria. These unexpected allies of Peace make sense if we recall that farming and traveling to participate in theoric festivals were endangered activities during wartime. Ultimately, the architect-protagonist restores Theōria to a seat amid “honest” councilors in the theater’s front row; permanently “installs” the statue of Peace in the orchestra; and takes Harvest as his wife, initiating a marriage feast, which all the spectators are invited to join. Through these dramatic events, all those assembled in the theater are encouraged to “see what is” – to recognize, among other things, that their present practice of *theōria* is a vital means to cultivate the comprehensive peace they desire.

Spectacular Speculations

It would be naïve to infer that the ancient institution of *theōria* was infallible. Indeed, there is much evidence that it was abused. Solon's withdrawal from Athens to perform *theōria* abroad was considered by some as avoiding accountability for laws he had just established. Some practitioners of *theōria* were said to have pursued not enlightenment but private distinction, attending festivals not to witness events and learn from others but to make shows of themselves. Sophists attended less to discover wisdom than to display and sell their own supposed expertise. Others allegedly used the time away from home to indulge in improprieties. Such abuses led Plato to disparage zealous "lovers of spectacles" (*philotheamon*) and to reinvent the practice as love of wisdom (*philosophia*).²⁹ Plato's transformation of *theōria* positively influenced the history of philosophy, yet it also spurred the perception of theory as an elite and aloof obsession.

However much theory may have changed since the flourishing of ancient Greek festivals, the traditional practice of *theōria* remains a valid model for contemporary events of cultural diplomacy and exchange, as exemplified by Olympic games, world's fairs, international biennales, and even academic conferences. University campuses, which typically host conferences, provide modern variations of theoric settings. They attract and dispatch a multitude of traveling theorists eager to discern and share truths. Somewhat like festival sanctuaries, academic campuses are both part of and apart from cities. Their theaters, lecture halls, and stadia cultivate all manner of learning, sporting, and arts, with galleries and libraries serving as living treasuries. In an era when we are witnessing the erosion of genuine public spaces for assembly and debate, the commercialization of academic and civic environments and the surge of placeless online learning, architectural educators must continue to demonstrate and defend the critical role that theoric settings, as necessarily architectural, play in fostering cultural exchange and understanding.

There are many ways architectural educators can recuperate theory's theatricality. For instance, by engaging dramatic modes of pedagogy; incorporating participatory debates, dialogues, and short plays into symposia and seminars; and risking more Dionysian acts of public engagement through thought-provoking agitprop theater. The author's own modest collaborative adventures along these lines include staging a student play for a History and Theory seminar; performing an allegorical pantomime dramatizing the struggle of architectural invention at a scholarly conference; and devising a series of Group Costumes for New York City's annual Halloween Parade and other public events.³⁰ These Group Costumes manifest monstrous corporeal fragments of the social body (Figure 1). Inhabited as traveling metaphors, metonymies, and synecdoches of collective perception and imagination, these costumes embody and enact the extraordinary potential of

**Figure 1**

Lisa Landrum and Ted Landrum, *Group Costumes* (1997–2016): Giant Tongues; a Large Intestine; a Giant Brain; a Giant Armpit of Liberty; a Winged-Ear-Beetle; a Winged-Eye-Mouth; Eyes of the Beholder; Architecture's Open Hand; and Architecture's Body Politic.

**Figure 2**

Andrés Jaque/Office for Political Innovation, *Superpowers of Ten*, a reinterpretation of the 1977 Charles and Rae Eames film *Powers of Ten* at the Chicago Architecture Biennial, 2015 (also performed at the Lisbon Architecture Triennial, 2013; JUMEX, 2016; and ZKM, 2016). Photo: Jorge López Conde.



Figure 3

Bryony Roberts, *We Know How To Order*, a site-specific performance reinterpreting the presumed order of Ludwig Mies van der Rohe's Federal Center Plaza, for the Chicago Architecture Biennial, 2015. Performance realized in collaboration with choreographer Asher Waldron of the South Shore Drill Team. Digital collage by artist-architect Bryony Roberts.



Figure 4

Santiago Borja, *Theatre*, a site-specific performance in Ludwig Mies van der Rohe's Robert F. Carr Memorial Chapel, IIT, reinterpreting the dilemma of pragmatism and spirituality. Chicago Architecture Biennial, 2015. In collaboration with Ingrid Everwijn, lead teacher of the Eurythmum CH in Dornach, Switzerland. Photo: Santiago Borja.

common bodily senses. They strive to give dramatic representation to the transformative and revelatory agencies of both architecture and *theōria*.

A compelling precedent has been set by the 2015 inaugural Chicago Architecture Biennial, which included three site-specific performances among its exhibitions (Figures 2–4). These performances transformed existing spaces into theoric settings, provoking critical reflection on particular environments and enabling interactions with diverse citizens – effectively democratizing architectural theory. Some may dismiss such spectacular speculation as idealistic overreaching. But, as Gadamer mused, “Is it so romantic to speak of theory as a life force in which all humans have a share?”³¹

This paper has argued for recuperating a pre-theoretical and pro-theatrical mode of theory, while demonstrating architecture’s crucial role in this tradition. Learning from the cultural practice of *theōria* in ancient Greece, we may understand the “theatrical” not as mere effects, distractions, or simulations, but as a fundamentally philosophical activity. A pro-theatrical mode of theory would involve diverse citizens in topographically meaningful settings, striving dramatically to manifest interpretive events of shared and open understanding. Architecture and architectural theory are not autonomous things. Rather, they are active factors in the vital yet vulnerable cultural practice of “theory,” which should be regarded with vigilance and engaged with critical inquiry and cathartic delight.

Lisa Landrum is Associate Professor in the Department of Architecture at the University of Manitoba, and a registered architect in Manitoba and New York state. Her research on the dramatic agencies of architecture and architectural theory has been published in a number of edited books, including *Architecture as a Performing Art* (Ashgate, 2013); *Architecture and Justice* (Ashgate, 2013); *Architecture’s Appeal* (Routledge, 2015); *Economy and Architecture* (Routledge, 2015); *Chora 7* (McGill-Queen’s University Press, 2016); and *Filming the City* (Intellect, 2016). Her award-winning creative research has been exhibited internationally at venues in Winnipeg, New York, Berlin, and Shenzhen (China), as well as featured on prominent websites, including *Storefront*, *Domus*, and *Architizer*.

Notes

1 My summary of *theōria* is based on primary sources and supporting scholarship: Andrea Wilson Nightingale, *Spectacles of Truth in Classical Greek Philosophy. Theoria in its Cultural Context* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004); summarized in *idem*, “The Philosopher at the Festival: Plato’s Transformation of Traditional *Theōria*,” in *Pilgrimage in Graeco-Roman & Early Christian Antiquity*, ed. Jaś Elsner and

Ian Rutherford (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2005), 151–80; and Ian Rutherford, *State Pilgrims and Sacred Observers in Ancient Greece: A Study of Theōria and Theōroi* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013).

2 Cicero, *Tusculan Disputations*, 5.3.7–9: “The life of man resembles the festival [at Olympia] celebrated with the most magnificent games before a gathering collected from all of Greece. For at

- this festival some men trained their bodies and sought to win the glorious distinction of a crown, and others came to make a profit by buying or selling. But there was also a certain class, made up of the noblest men, who sought neither applause nor gain, but came for the sake of spectating and closely watched the event and how it was done"; quoted in Nightingale, *Spectacles of Truth*, 17.
- 3 This is exhibited in Plato's *Republic*; Nightingale, *Spectacles of Truth*, 74–83.
 - 4 Hans-Georg Gadamer, *Praise of Theory: Speeches and Essays*, trans. Chris Dawson (New Haven and London: Yale University Press, 1998), 31.
 - 5 Hans-Georg Gadamer, *Truth and Method*, 2nd revd ed., trans. Joel Weinsheimer and Donald G. Marshall (London: Continuum, 2004), 122.
 - 6 On *chōra* as region, see Lisa Landrum, "Chōra before Plato: Architecture, Drama and Receptivity," in *Chora 7: Intervals in the Philosophy of Architecture*, ed. Alberto Pérez-Gómez and Stephen Parcel (Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2016), 323–58.
 - 7 Quoted in Nightingale, *Spectacles of Truth*, 47.
 - 8 For evidence, see Ian Rutherford, "Theoria as Theatre: Pilgrimage in Greek Drama," *Papers of the Leeds International Latin Seminar*, vol. 10 (1998): 131–56; and Clemente Marconi, "Kosmos: The Imagery of the Archaic Greek Temple," *RES: Anthropology and Aesthetics*, no. 45 (Spring 2004): 211–24.
 - 9 Nightingale, *Spectacles of Truth*, 47.
 - 10 On interdependencies of *theōria* and democracy, see Rutherford, *State Pilgrims*, 36–40; Simon Goldhill, "The Great Dionysia and Civic Ideology," in *Nothing to Do with Dionysos: Athenian Drama and its Social Context*, ed. J. Winkler and F. Zeitlin (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1990), 97–129; and Simon Goldhill and Robin Osborne, eds., *Performance Culture and Athenian Democracy* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999), 5–8.
 - 11 Herodotus, *Histories*, 1.30.2.
 - 12 Quoted in Nightingale, *Spectacles of Truth*, 66. See also Rutherford, *State Pilgrims*, 148.
 - 13 Rutherford, *State Pilgrims*, 158–9.
 - 14 Theognis, *Elegies*, 805–10. This is the earliest extant instance of *theōros* in Greek literature. On *theōroi* as "champions of justice," see Gregory Nagy, "A Poet's Vision of his City," in *Theognis of Megara: Poetry and the Polis*, ed. Thomas J. Figueira and Gregory Nagy (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1985), 36–41.
 - 15 "Il faut toujours dire ce que l'on voit, surtout il faut toujours, ce qui est plus difficile, voir ce que l'on voit"; *Le Corbusier Talks with Students* [1961], trans. Pierre Chase (New York: Princeton Architectural Press, 1999), n.p. This epigram, which also appears in Le Corbusier's 1959 edition of *Les Trois Établissements Humains*, is borrowed from French poet, dramatist, and essayist Charles Péguy (1873–1914): Charles Péguy, *Pensées* (Paris: Gallimard, 1934), 45.
 - 16 Gadamer, *Praise of Theory*, 31.
 - 17 Plato, *Republic*, 7.517d, with Rutherford, *State Pilgrims*, 326–7.
 - 18 Rutherford, *State Pilgrims*, 5, 145.
 - 19 Martin Heidegger, "Science and Reflection," in *The Question Concerning Technology and Other Essays*, trans. William Lovitt (New York: Harper & Row, 1977), 164.
 - 20 Nightingale, *Spectacles of Truth*, 45, with further references.
 - 21 Rutherford, *State Pilgrims*, 145; James Ker, "Solon's 'Theōria' and the End of the City," *Classical Antiquity*, 19, no. 2 (2000): 304–29, esp. 309–10.
 - 22 Froma I. Zeitlin, "The Artful Eye: Vision, Ekphrasis and Spectacle in Euripidean Theatre," in *Art and Text in Ancient Greek Culture*, ed. Simon Goldhill and Robin Osborne (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999), 138–96, on 141.
 - 23 A relevant example is in Euripides' *Cyclops*, when Odysseus implores Zeus (and the spectators) "to look" upon injustices perpetrated by Polyphemus (354). Odysseus then calls himself "architect" of the scheme to restore justice (477); Lisa Landrum, "Ensemble Performances: Architects and Justice in Athenian Drama," in *Architecture and Justice: Judicial Meanings in the Public Realm*, ed. Nicholas Temple, Jonathan Simon, and Renée Tobe (Farnham: Ashgate, 2013), 245–56, esp. 253.
 - 24 Leon Golden, *Aristotle on Tragic and Comic Mimesis*. American Classical Studies 29 (Atlanta: Scholars, 1992), 2.
 - 25 On the performativity of Greek stage conventions (*choros*, *skēnē*, *mēchanē*,

- and *ekkyklēma*), see Ruth Padel, "Making Space Speak," in Winkler and Zeitlin, *Nothing to Do with Dionysos*, 336–65.
- 26 Zeitlin, "Artful Eye," 141.
- 27 Rodolphe Gasché, *The Honor of Thinking: Critique, Theory, Philosophy* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2007), 203. Gasché's essay title echoes Michel Foucault, "Theatrum Philosophicum," *Critique*, 282 (November 1970): 885–908. Whereas Gasché interprets Blumenberg's reading of *Theaetetus*, Foucault interprets Gilles Deleuze.
- 28 Lisa Landrum, "Performing *Theōria*: Architectural Acts in Aristophanes' *Peace*," in *Architecture as a Performing Art*, ed. Gray Read and Marcia Feuerstein (Farnham: Ashgate, 2013), 27–43.
- 29 Nightingale, *Spectacles of Truth*, 78, referring to Plato, *Republic*, 475d.
- 30 Lisa Landrum, "History and Histrionics: Dramatizing Architectural Inquiry," in *Made: Design Education & the Art of Making, Proceedings of the 26th National Conference on the Beginning Design Studio* (University of North Carolina – Charlotte, 2010), 17–24; Lisa Landrum and Ted Landrum, "Miming a Manner of Architectural Theory. *Eudaimonia*: A Pantomime Dream Play," in *Confabulations: Storytelling in Architecture*, ed. Carolina Daye, Paul Emmons, and Marcia Feuerstein (London: Routledge, forthcoming in 2017); and Lisa Landrum and Ted Landrum, "Enigmas in the City: A Retrospective Exhibition of Group Costumes," in *Warehouse*, ed. Brandon Bergem and Nicole Hunt (Winnipeg: University of Manitoba, 2012), 174–77.
- 31 Gadamer, *Praise of Theory*, 32.

References

- Aristophanes. 2005. "Peace." In *Aristophanes Volume 2*, The Loeb Classical Library, edited and translated by Jeffrey Henderson, 419–601. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Euripides. 1995–2005. "Cyclops, Andromache, Ion." In *Euripides Volume 1*, 2, 4, The Loeb Classical Library, edited and translated by David Kovacs. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Gadamer, Hans-Georg 1998. *Praise of Theory: Speeches and Essays, Translated by Chris Dawson*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Gadamer, Hans-Georg. 2004. *Truth and Method*. Second Revised Edition, translated by Joel Weinsheimer and Donald G. Marshall. London and New York: Continuum.
- Gasché, Rodolphe 2007. *The Honor of Thinking: Critique, Theory, Philosophy*, 188–208. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Golden, Leon 1992. *Aristotle on Tragic and Comic Mimesis*, American Classical Studies 29. Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press.
- Goldhill, Simon, and Osborne, Robin (eds.). 1999. *Performance Culture and Athenian Democracy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Heidegger, Martin. 1977. "Science and Reflection." In *The Question concerning Technology and Other Essays*, translated by William Lovitt, 155–182. New York: Harper & Row.
- Ker, James. 2000. "Solon's 'Theōria' and the End of the City." *Classical Antiquity*, 19, no. 2: 304–329.
- Landrum, Lisa. 2016. "Chōra before Plato: Architecture, Drama and Receptivity." In *Chora 7: Intervals in the Philosophy of Architecture*, edited by Alberto Pérez-Gómez and Stephen Parcel, 323–258. Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press.
- Landrum, Lisa. 2013. "Ensemble Performances: Architects and Justice in Athenian Drama." In *Architecture and Justice: Judicial Meanings in the Public Realm*, edited by Nicholas Temple, Jonathan Simon, and Renée Tobe, 245–256. Farnham and Burlington, VT: Ashgate.
- Landrum, Lisa. 2010. "History and Histrionics: Dramatizing Architectural Inquiry." In *Made: Design Education & the Art of Making, Proceedings of the 26th National Conference on the Beginning Design Studio*, edited by Jeffrey Balmer and Chris Beorkrem, 17–24. Charlotte, NC: University of North Carolina.
- Landrum, Lisa. 2013. "Performing *Theōria*: Architectural Acts in Aristophanes' *Peace*." In *Architecture as a Performing Art*, edited by Gray Read and Marcia Feuerstein, 27–43. Farnham and Burlington, VT: Ashgate.

- Landrum, Lisa, and Ted Landrum. 2012. “Enigmas in the City: A Retrospective Exhibition of Group Costumes.” In *Warehouse*, edited by Brandon Bergem and Nicole Hunt, 174–177. Winnipeg: University of Manitoba.
- Landrum, Lisa, and Ted Landrum. Forthcoming in 2017. “Miming a Manner of Architectural Theory. Eudaimonia: A Pantomime Dream Play.” In *Confabulations: Storytelling in Architecture*, edited by Carolina Dayer, Paul Emmons, and Marcia Feuerstein. London and New York: Routledge.
- Corbusier, Le. 1999 [1961]. *Le Corbusier Talks with Students*, translated by Pierre Chase. New York: Princeton Architectural Press.
- Marconi, Clemente. 2004. “Kosmos: The Imagery of the Archaic Greek Temple.” *RES: Anthropology and Aesthetics*, no. 45: 211-224.
- Nagy, Gregory. 1985. “A Poet’s Vision of His City.” In *Theognis of Megara: Poetry and the Polis*, edited by Thomas J. Figueira and Gregory Nagy, 36–41. Baltimore, MD and London: The Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Nightingale, Andrea Wilson. 2004. *Spectacles of Truth in Classical Greek Philosophy. Theoria in Its Cultural Context*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nightingale, Andrea Wilson. 2005. “The Philosopher at the Festival: Plato’s Transformation of Traditional *Theōria*.” In *Pilgrimage in Graeco-Roman & Early Christian Antiquity*, edited by Jaś Elsner and Ian Rutherford, 151–180. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Péguy, Charles. 1934. *Pensées*. Paris: Gallimard.
- Rutherford, Ian. 2013. *State Pilgrims and Sacred Observers in Ancient Greece: A Study of Theōria and Theōroi*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rutherford, Ian. 1998. “Theoria as Theatre: Pilgrimage in Greek Drama.” *Papers of the Leeds International Latin Seminar*, 10: 131–156.
- Winkler, J., and Zeitlin, F. (eds.). 1990. *Nothing to Do with Dionysos: Athenian Drama and Its Social Context*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.